

This action item summary is being provided to inform the Boards of the follow-up that has been taken to the requests during November's Reservoir Committee and Authority Board meetings.

Action Item	Status
<p>1. Permitting Timelines in the Permitting Action Plan– Ben Carter – Request to have a key on roadmaps indicating what the significance of the different colors and shapes represent.</p>	<p>Completed. Incorporate in the final strategic plan as presented today.</p>
<p>2. Water Right – Thad/Rob K - Investigate groundwater recharge, offsetting adverse effects as defined in SGMA as an approved use of Sites water with the State board. Understand impacts of local participants, particularly Glenn and Colusa Counties. Follow up with Rob Kunde.</p> <p>Gary Evans – State should be responsible for determining the water right parameters for their water. Avoid changes being driven by the state to the Sites water right.</p> <p>Gary Evans - Request for County of Colusa representatives to be involved in Funks/Stone Corral Water Rights discussions. Need to take into account potential county of origin rights to this water.</p> <p>Fritz Durst – Groundwater recharge as a valid use is very important. Suggests working with NGO's to push the State Board to adopt this as a valid use of Sites water.</p>	<p>This item will be on-going as part of our discussions with State Board staff and NGOs in 2021. In June 2020, the State Board released a fact sheet on this topic that can be found here: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterri ghts/water_issues/programs/applications /docs/purposes_of_use_fact_sheet_final. pdf</p> <p>At this time, all of the water rights for the project are envisioned to be held by the Authority and thus, under the Authority's jurisdiction. Any specific parameters related to ecosystem water under Prop 1 would be addressed in the benefits agreement with CDFW.</p> <p>This will be done in the coming months. An analysis of the historic flows in these creeks is being performed to evaluate the best path forward.</p> <p>See the first response above.</p>
<p>3. Jamie Traynham - Delegation – Several suggestions/edits to incorporate into the matrix. Jeff Sutton to provide additional comments after the meeting.</p>	<p>Changes will be considered and reflected in the final matrix for approval at the December 2020 Joint Boards Meeting.</p>
<p>4. Regarding the 2030 master project schedule, options need to be considered to pay cash calls in multiple installments / alternative payment plans will be important for agricultural agencies in 2022 and 2023 based on \$150 and \$200 cash calls, respectively.</p>	<p>This feedback will be further considered in the development of the Financing Action Plan.</p>