# **Sites Reservoir Project**

**Construction Contractor Open House** 

6/9/2022



Draft - Predecisional Working Document - For Discussion Purposes Only

# **Safety Minute**

Stay hydrated!

Location of exits

#### Safety is a value of the Authority

"Design, construction, and operation of the reservoir will satisfy all federal, state, and local requirements and **exceed standards for public safety and security**."

## Agenda

- Introductions
- Objectives
- Project Overview
- Preliminary Project Packages
- Contractor 1:1 Meeting Coordination
- Q&A

## **Introductions – Sites Project Staff**

#### Board and Reservoir Committee Members in Attendance

Sites Staff	Role
Marcia Kivett	Sites Lead Admin
JP Robinette, PE	Engineering and Construction Manager
Kevin Spesert	External Affairs Manager
Joe Trapasso	Program Operations Manager
Sandra Yarbrough	Sites Admin

# **Objectives for today**







#### Get connected

(fill out sign-in sheet/leave your business card at the door)

Present preliminary project packages

Communicate expectations for follow on activities/feedback on preliminary project packages

# **Poll Instructions**

#### 2 response methods

- Online via pollev.com/cheyanneharr909
- Text CHEYANNEHARR909 to 22333

Anonymous Responses (no log-in required)

#### Results will be kept for future reference

#### Live Poll: What interests you about the Sites Reservoir Project?

When poll is active, respond at PollEv.com/cheyanneharr909
 Text CHEYANNEHARR909 to 22333 once to join

#### What interests you about the Sites Reservoir Project?

participate timeline schedule pipelines heavy hydraulics engineering. design opportunities projects landmark contracts cheyanneharr909 progressive bridge of the pipelines heavy hydraulics project stations bridge of the pipelines heavy hydraulics project stations for the pipelines heavy hydraulics for the pipelines heavy

# **Project Overview**



### Sites Reservoir...

... a generational opportunity to construct a **multi-benefit water storage** project that helps restore **flexibility**, **reliability**, and **resiliency** to our water supply





## **Broad Statewide Participation**



30 Agencies 9 JPA Members 22 Reservoir Committee Members

Federal and State Agencies

Serving ~24.5 million people and 500,000+ acres of farmland

Blue shading represents participant service areas; does not account for State and Federal participation.

# Sites is a local led project

#### **Respect for local communities...** It's how we work.

"The Authority recognizes the significant contributions of local Sacramento Valley landowners and communities and will be a respectful, supportive partner and be a good neighbor throughout the project."

Water Land Community

# **Project Overview**

Artistic rendering, not to scale. Not all facilities shown



#### Feasibility Project Cost Estimate approved June 2021



## **Project Schedule**

#### **Sites Reservoir Project Schedule**



## **Recent Project Achievements**

- Revised Draft EIR/Supplemental Draft EIS (Nov 2021)
- Prop 1 Feasibility Determination ~\$875 million (Dec 2021)
- WIFIA Loan Invitation ~\$2.2 billion/49% of project costs (March 2022)
- Water Right Application submitted (May 11, 2022)



Project Map

# **Preliminary Project Packages**



### **Context on Project Packaging**

11 packages (preliminary recommendation)

#### Market outreach and follow-up

Final recommendation Expect 10 – 14 packages depending on market input and permitting analysis

Reservoir Committee & Authority Board Endorsement

#### Preliminary Project Packages Overview \$M, 2021\$



#### **Benefits of Preliminary Packaging Recommendations**

Minimizes number of packages for Sites Project Authority to manage

Allows for contractor qualifications to be considered in the selection process where this would add benefit

Reduces potential interface risk between contractors

Facilitates system-wide testing and commissioning

Facilitates logistical planning

Provides opportunities for local contractors as prime and as subs

## **Initial Recommendations for Contract Packaging:** Dams (\$1.6B)



**Package Highlights 9**M CY of embankment □ 10M CY of rock

Dam Heights 2 Main: 287 ft **7** Saddle: 107 ft □ 2 Saddle Dikes: 12 ft

Roads

□ 22 miles (12 paved; 10 gravel)

#### Initial Recommendations for Contract Packaging: Dams

Package	Scope	Rationale
Dams Package (approx. \$1.6B)	<ul> <li>Sites Dam</li> <li>Golden Gate Dam</li> <li>Saddle Dams and Dikes</li> <li>Associated construction access roads and permanent access roads</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Combining all dam work together facilitates logistical planning, places responsibility for logistics with one party, and allows for less risk associated with management of excess / deficient soils at each dam site</li> <li>Combining access roads into Dams packages avoids potential interface risks / delay claims between Dams contractor and roads contractor</li> <li>All dam features are under Division of Safety of Dams jurisdiction</li> </ul>

# Live Poll: Thoughts on the size of the dams package

When poll is active, respond at PollEv.com/cheyanneharr909
 Text CHEYANNEHARR909 to 22333 once to join

#### Thoughts on the size of the dams package?



# Live Poll: Should the saddle dams/dikes be split from the main Dams package

When poll is active, respond at PollEv.com/cheyanneharr909
 Text CHEYANNEHARR909 to 22333 once to join

## Should the saddle dams/dikes be split from the main Dams

package



### Initial Recommendations for Contract Packaging: Inlet/Outlet (I/O) - \$500M



<u>Package Highlights</u>230 ft tall multi-tier

 Sloping inlet/outlet structure with 21 ports at 7 different levels

□ 7 miles of 12' pipes

□ 3,100 LF of 32' tunnel

□ Mechanical/I&C Building

Transition Manifold connecting 4 – 12' diameter pipes to 32' diameter tunnel

#### **Initial Recommendations for Contract Packaging:** I/O

Package	Scope	Rationale
I/O Package (approx. \$500M)	<ul> <li>I/O tower</li> <li>I/O tunnel</li> <li>I/O control building</li> <li>Pipelines &amp; energy dissipation to Funks Reservoir and TRR</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Places responsibility for complete I/O system with one contractor</li> <li>Pipelines and energy dissipation are included with other I/O features as they are all under Division of Safety of Dams jurisdiction</li> </ul>

# Live Poll: Thoughts on the size of the inlet/outlet package

When poll is active, respond at PollEv.com/cheyanneharr909
 Text CHEYANNEHARR909 to 22333 once to join

#### Thoughts on the size of the inlet/outlet package?



#### Initial Recommendations for Contract Packaging: Conveyance (\$650M)



#### Package Highlights

Terminal Regulating Reservoir

Excavate 8M CY of material to create new 600 acre-ft

reservoir

- PGP: 13 x 9,000 HP pumping units; pumping capacity of up to 1,800 cfs
- Funks PGP 13 x 8,000 HP pumping units; pumping capacity of up to 2,100 cfs

#### Electrical

2 substations

17 miles of new overhead transmission lines

2 admin and maintenance buildings

### Initial Recommendations for Contract Packaging: Conveyance

Package	Scope	Rationale
Conveyance Package (approx. \$650M)	<ul> <li>TRR reservoir</li> <li>TRR pumping and generating plant</li> <li>TRR substation and transmission line</li> <li>Possibly Funks Reservoir dredging</li> <li>Funks pumping and generating plant</li> <li>Funks substation and transmission line</li> <li>Possibly admin and operations building and systems integration (may depend on where admin/ops building is located</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Keeping non- jurisdictional (DSOD) conveyance elements together helps facilitate commissioning as an integrated system</li> <li>Reduces "interface" conflicts between contractors</li> </ul>

# Live Poll: Thoughts on the size of the conveyance package

When poll is active, respond at PollEv.com/cheyanneharr909
 Text CHEYANNEHARR909 to 22333 once to join

#### Thoughts on the size of the conveyance package?



Live Poll: Thoughts on two conveyance packages (one for the Funks Reservoir area and one for the Terminal Regulating Reservoir area)?

When poll is active, respond at PollEv.com/cheyanneharr909
 Text CHEYANNEHARR909 to 22333 once to join

### Thoughts on two conveyance packages (one for the Funks Reservoir area and one for the Terminal Regulating Reservoir area)?



#### Initial Recommendation for Contract Packages: Dunnigan Pipeline (\$100M)



#### Package Highlights

- 4 miles of 9 ft diameter pipe
- Concrete inlet and outlet structures
- 2 60-inch energy dissipating valves and hood

#### **Initial Recommendation for Contract Packages: Dunnigan Pipeline**

Package	Scope	Rationale
Dunnigan Pipeline Package (approx. \$100M)	<ul> <li>Connection to TCC</li> <li>4-mile pipeline to CBD</li> <li>CBD energy dissipation structure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Decouples design on first 4 miles of Dunnigan Pipeline so that it can proceed while possible use of CBD can be negotiated</li> <li>Increasing diameter of pipeline from 9 ft to 10.5 ft would allow for pipeline to be extended to Sacramento River if needed in the future</li> </ul>

# Live Poll: Thoughts on the size of the Dunnigan Pipeline package

When poll is active, respond at PollEv.com/cheyanneharr909
 Text CHEYANNEHARR909 to 22333 once to join

#### Thoughts on the size of the Dunnigan Pipeline package?





#### Initial Recommendations for Contract Packaging: Reservoir Clearing and Demo (\$35M)



Package Highlight
 Clearing and demolition of 13,200 acres

Draft - Predecisional Working Document - For Discussion Purposes Only

#### Initial Recommendation for Contract Packages: Roads and Reservoir

Package	Scope	Rationale
Reservoir Clearing and Demo Package (approx. \$35M)	Clearing existing structures, facilities and vegetation from reservoir footprint	Opportunity for local contractor(s)

#### \* Packages are not presented in chronological order
# Live Poll: Thoughts on the size of the Reservoir Clearing/Demo Package

When poll is active, respond at PollEv.com/cheyanneharr909
 Text CHEYANNEHARR909 to 22333 once to join

## Thoughts on the size of the Reservoir Clearing/Demo package?





#### Initial Recommendations for Contract Packaging: Sites Lodoga Road Realignment (\$400M)



Draft - Predecisional Working Document - For Discussion Purposes Only

#### Initial Recommendation for Contract Packages: Roads and Reservoir

Package	Scope	Rationale
Sites Lodoga Road Realignment Package (approx. \$400M)	<ul> <li>Road realignment (new road)</li> <li>New bridge</li> </ul>	Opportunity for local contractor(s)

#### Live Poll: Thoughts on the size of the Sites Lodoga Road Realignment package

When poll is active, respond at PollEv.com/cheyanneharr909
 Text CHEYANNEHARR909 to 22333 once to join

### Thoughts on the size of the Sites Lodoga Road Realignment

package?



#### Initial Recommendations for Contract Packaging: Huffmaster Road (\$50M)



Package Highlights Construct 7.5 miles of gravel access road (16 ft wide)

Materials:
1.5M CY of excavation
0.5M CY of embankment
22,000 CY aggregate base

Draft - Predecisional Working Document - For Discussion Purposes Only

#### Initial Recommendation for Contract Packages: Roads and Reservoir

Package	Scope	Rationale
Huffmaster Road Package (approx. \$50M)	16-ft wide gravel road	Opportunity for local contractor(s)

#### Live Poll: Thoughts on the size of the Huffmaster Road Package

When poll is active, respond at PollEv.com/cheyanneharr909
 Text CHEYANNEHARR909 to 22333 once to join

#### Thoughts on the size of the Huffmaster Road package?





### **Project Mitigation**

Package	Scope	Rationale
Mitigation (approx. \$600M)	<ul> <li>Required for state and federal resource permits</li> <li>Planning, design, implementation and long-term management of mitigation lands</li> <li>Required in advance of impacts</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Combining all permanent mitigation together facilitates logistical planning, places responsibility for logistics with one party, and allows for less risk</li> <li>Transfer if long-term liability to contractor</li> <li>Reduces mitigation cost</li> <li>Reduces the potential for delays on Construction Contracts moving forward.</li> </ul>

#### Additional Packages (to be covered later)

- Tehama Colusa Canal Authority Package (upstream improvements)
- GCID Package (upstream improvements)
- Recreational Areas

#### Next Steps

Contractor 1:1 Meeting Coordination



Draft - Predecisional Working Document - For Discussion Purposes Only

#### **Contract Procurement: Surface Storage Projects receiving State funding**

- Traditionally, Design-Bid-Build (DBB) has been the general approach for surface storage projects.
- **AB 2551** Chapter 760 authorizes the Sites Project to implement alternative delivery:
  - Construction manager at-risk (CMAR)
  - Design-build (DB: conventional, progressive, or target price)
  - Design-build-operate

#### **Contractor 1:1 expectations**

- This is expected to be one of many meetings to gather industry inputs
- Focus of the upcoming 1:1 meetings are to gather insights on pros/cons & risks/benefits regarding preliminary packaging & applicable procurement methods (two-way dialogue)

• These slides will be posted to the Sites Project website the morning of **Friday 6/10** 

#### Sign-Up for a Contractor 1:1 Session (scan QR code)







Draft - Predecisional Working Document - For Discussion Purposes Only