



Meeting: **Sites Reservoir Committee & Authority Board**

**January 19, 2024
9:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.**

- Authority Board Chair: Fritz Durst (Reclamation District 108)
- Authority Board Vice Chair: Jeff Sutton (Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority)
- Reservoir Committee Chair: Valerie Pryor (Zone 7 Water Agency)
- Reservoir Committee Vice-Chair: Mike Azevedo (Colusa County)
- Treasurer: Jamie Traynham (Davis Water District)

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

Chair Durst called the Authority Board (AB) and Reservoir Committee (RC) Meeting to order at the hour of 9:00 a.m., followed by Roll Call and the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL/ATTENDANCE:

Roll call of the Authority Board equaled 9 members in attendance, which resulted in a quorum.

Roll call of the Reservoir Committee equaled 20 representatives, 94.11% in attendance, which resulted in a quorum.

INTRODUCTIONS:

The Sites staff, consultants and members of the public introduced themselves.

AGENDA APPROVAL:

ACTION RC: Moved by Mr. Tincher seconded by Mr. Cheng, to approve the January 19, 2024, meeting agenda. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: Moved by Director Evans, seconded by Director Arnold, to approve the January 19, 2024, meeting agenda. **Motion Carried: All Directors present voted yes.**

ANNOUNCEMENT OF CLOSED SESSION:

General Counsel (GC) Doud announced the Authority Board of Directors, and the Reservoir Committee Members would consider Closed Session matters 5.1 through 5.3.

9:06 a.m. Mr. Taylor, Rosedale-Rio Bravo, arrived virtually.

PERIOD FOR PUBLIC COMMENT:

Chair Durst called for a period of public comment. Hearing none, he closed the period for public comment.

1. CONSENT AGENDA:

Chair Pryor and Chair Durst made time to consider consent agenda items 1.1 through 1.3 as follows:

- 1.1 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of December 15, 2023, Reservoir Committee and Authority Board Meeting Minutes.
- 1.2 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider acceptance of the Sites Project Authority Treasurer’s Report.
- 1.3 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of the Sites Project Authority Payment of Claims.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Kunde, seconded by Ms. Traynham, to approve Consent Agenda items 1.1 through 1.3. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Arnold, seconded by Director Allen to approve Consent Agenda items 1.1 through 1.3. **Motion carried: All Directors present voted yes.**

9:16 a.m. Mr. Krzys, Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District, joined the in-person meeting.

2. **ACTION ITEMS:**

- 2.1 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board confirm that the development of the Benefits and Obligations (B&O) Contract remains consistent with the board adopted Guiding Principles and Preliminary Terms and delegate development of further operational details to the Operations and Engineering Workgroup.

Mr. Robinette commenced his presentation by addressing policy areas requiring attention that were highlighted in September of 2023. These included the allocation of unused conveyance capacity among Participants, the liability of Participants in case of default by another Participant, and the obligations of self-funding Participants regarding the liquidity reserve. He emphasized the complexity of conveyance operations and the development of an Operations Plan prioritizing the owner’s interest first, and Participants second. He highlighted the solidity of the Guiding Principles and Preliminary Terms and the readiness of operations and engineering to manage any necessary changes. He explained that any changes to the Operations Plan requires board approval, with a majority needed to make a change.

Mr. Smalls expressed appreciation for the process, emphasizing its collaborative and constructive nature.

Mr. Robinette emphasized the need to evaluate the B&O Contract alongside the Operations Plan, suggesting a potential reevaluation of the B&O Contract to ensure consistency and balance. ED Brown acknowledged the challenge of determining what aspects belong in each document but assured participants that their needs would be met. The goal is to have a further refined B&O Contract very soon.

Mr. Robinette highlighted the importance of identifying and promptly addressing critical issues early in the process to confirm the B&O Contract's durability for the long term. He encouraged participants to provide feedback and input, emphasizing the goal of refining the B&O Contract for imminent distribution. Participants were urged to share their thoughts without aiming for perfection, highlighting the collaborative nature of the process.

Mr. Robinette reviewed the inclusion of organizational charts included with this item noting their alignment with the project's conceptual framework. He acknowledged that these charts may evolve as suitable personnel are identified for various roles. Highlighting the importance of defining roles and responsibilities for the contractor phase, Mr. Robinette emphasized the need for clarity regarding the Authority's and management structures. The organizational charts have been instrumental in discussions with contractors about the owner's role. He stressed the critical nature of the owner's role in fostering collaboration and maximizing value. The charts serve as the foundation for understanding the envisioned roles.

ED Brown highlighted the collective strength derived from the diverse experiences and perspectives within the group. He acknowledged the valuable insights gained from participants' experiences with water supply contracts and agencies, emphasizing their contribution to shaping the organization's structure and operations. ED Brown expressed gratitude for the collaborative effort in developing core competencies and fundamental objectives, underscoring the significance of creating a new public agency. He reassured participants that his process is a starting point rather than a finalization, thanking them for the contributions and dedication to the endeavor.

Mr. Kunde noted that some Participants are still addressing governance issues, clarifying that the presentation focused specifically on the B&O Contract and general principles. He emphasized that the Governance Ad Hoc Committee still has work to do on feedback to reach a satisfactory point.

ED Brown discussed the feedback received regarding governance topics and issues. He mentioned that they have been addressing those comments directly through meetings with the commenters. He noted that none of the comments received so far have warranted significant changes to the concepts outlined in the Bylaws, the

JPA Agreement and the B&O Contract, which form the foundational structure of the project, and were referred to as the 3-legged stool.

ED Brown emphasized the importance of gathering more input on governance aspects to ensure that the structure aligns with the participants’ needs. He highlighted the dynamic nature of this and the need for coordinated efforts. ED Brown noted that aspects such as voting for the Reservoir Committee (RC) require further consideration, especially regarding participation levels and voting weights. He explained that decisions such as approving a budget within the RC may require more than a simple majority vote. He stressed the distinction between the RC and JPA, understanding the need for careful examination of governance procedures. ED Brown continued by explaining that while the JPA would ultimately approve the budget, the RC needs to determine its internal voting procedures for budget decisions. He mentioned that they will delve deeper into these governance issues at the next ad hoc meeting scheduled in March. Participants were encouraged to submit any governance topics or other concerns they wish to discuss during the March meeting.

Mr. Kunde shared feedback from staff regarding the limited comments received on governance issues. He urged Participants who have specific concerns or suggestions related to governance to express them, and they will be taken into consideration.

ED Brown concluded the discussion by expressing gratitude for everyone’s input. He clarified that this is an action item to confirm if you concur with the recommended approach. He noted that no changes are being recommended at this time, and the recommendation is to proceed with the adopted Guiding Principles and Preliminary Terms and the importance of delegating operations details to the Operations & Engineering Workgroup.

Chair Pryor expressed appreciation for the presentation, stating that she found the staff report to be clear.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Ms. Traynham, seconded by Mr. Cheng, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.1 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Evans, seconded by Director Allen to approve agenda item 2.1. **Motion carried: All Directors present voted yes.**

- 2.2 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider directing staff to proceed with implementing the Local Community Working Group (LCWG) proposed policy recommendations as part of the project and continue working with the LCWG to ensure the project stays aligned with the local community’s vision for a successful outcome. **(Attachment A)**

ED Brown introduced this item aimed at providing direction to staff regarding proposed policy recommendations from the LCWG. He stated that Mr. Spesert spearheaded this effort and will present the recommendations along with the process undertaken to arrive at them.

Mr. Spesert began his presentation by providing background on the establishment of the LCWG in 2022. The group facilitated in-depth conversations on local concerns and identified joint interests between the Authority and local communities to advance the project. The discussions aligned with the Authority's organizational goals, particularly emphasizing respect for the local community. The LCWG held seven meetings in 2023 and was originally planned to conclude at the end of 2023, but due to their value, they are now extended to quarterly meetings in 2024. These meetings had about 15-20 participants, representing diverse range of local and regional government, business organizations, and community entities. Participation was encouraged from all counties within the JPA representation area. Efforts have been made to expand participation, with requests received from additional organizations, such as the Yuba Community College District and Colusa County hospitals.

Mr. Spesert emphasized that the meetings provided valuable feedback for staff, informing planning activities and design considerations, particularly regarding local concerns such as roads, traffic, and timing of construction activities. The discussions also highlighted shared interests between the Authority and the local community.

The LCWG developed four broad policy recommendations, focusing on contract provisions aligning with local concerns, local hiring and contracting goals, understanding the impact on local public service organizations, and addressing gaps in local infrastructure. These recommendations were prioritized based on their potential benefits to the local community and alignment with project goals.

Mr. Spesert elaborated on the importance of workforce and contracting strategies, including the potential need for a Project Labor Agreement (PLA). The strategies will be refined to ensure local hiring and contracting goals are met.

Lastly, Mr. Spesert discussed the funding implications of implementing the recommendations, particularly the need for additional studies such as the local public service staffing analysis, and local infrastructure capacity study. These studies, estimated to cost \$250,000, are to support these efforts.

Mr. Tincher expressed appreciation for the collective effort and individuals involved in this process. He emphasized the Authority's core value of respecting the local communities and building trust. He expressed dedicated support for the recommendations and their alignment with being a good neighbor.

Mr. Arnold commented on his appreciation for the focus on the local community, emphasizing the significant impact such initiatives can have. He highlighted the importance of timing, particularly in relation to road construction during certain months that can cause disruptions to the agricultural community. Mr. Arnold underscored the significance of the project for local contractors, aggregates, and other vendors, who are expressing hope and interest in its success. He concluded by thanking everyone for their time and effort, and their collective appreciation for the local community and the project.

Mr. Charter expressed satisfaction hearing that there is consideration for the impact on agriculture and appreciation of the mindfulness toward this aspect of the local community.

Mr. Smalls voiced encouragement upon hearing about the pursuit of a PLA for the project. He offered his assistance and willingness to provide insights or lessons learned to aid in the engagement process, demonstrating his support and commitment to the endeavor.

Mr. Spesert encouraged members to actively participate in the LCWG. He highlighted the benefits of direct communication with the local community members.

Chair Durst expressed thanks to the Katz team for compiling the LCWG annual report that highlighted the inclusive activities over the past year. He also appreciated the emphasis by Mr. Spesert on welcoming future participation in the LCWG.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Tincher, seconded by Mr. Kunde, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.2 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Arnold, seconded by Director Evans to approve agenda item 2.2. **Motion carried: All Directors present voted yes.**

- 2.3 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider authorizing the Executive Director to submit the Project's Clean Water Act 404 and 401 Permit Application.

ED Brown stated in a previous presentation on this topic the focus was on two aspects: mapping and coordination for the applications before submission. He stated that both actions have been completed and are now seeking authorization to submit the applications.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Cheng, seconded by Ms. Traynham, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.3 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Sutton, seconded by Director Allen to approve agenda item 2.3. **Motion carried: All Directors present voted yes.**

- 2.4 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider authorizing the Executive Director to submit the Project’s Eagle Conservation Plan to the US Fish and Wildlife Service for a Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act Permit for Project construction and operations.

Ms. Forsythe presented this item, explaining that the plan is necessary to comply with the Gold and Bald Eagle Act, which mandates a “no net loss” standard for eagle habitats. She outlined the impact on the eagles, including disturbance to nests and loss of territories due to reservoir inundation. Mitigation measures include minimizing impacts during construction and operations, implementing best management practices, and monitoring nests for adaptive management. Compensatory measures involve retrofitting power poles and acquiring and protecting Golden Eagle breeding foraging habitat. The requested action is to authorize the submission of the plan to obtain a permit for construction and 20 years beyond that for operations activities.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Kunde, seconded by Mr. Ruiz, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.4 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Alternate Director Dennis, seconded by Director Arnold to approve agenda item 2.4. **Motion carried: All Directors present voted yes.**

- 2.5 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of the 2024 State and Federal Legislative Priorities. **(Attachment A & B)**

ED Brown highlighted the annual discussion on priorities for state and federal advocates, focusing on permitting, contracting for public benefits, outreach, and coordination. For the state, the emphasis is on driving decisions, securing support for contracting and maintaining close communication regarding the project with the Governor’s office. On the federal side, the focus is on securing funding, with a key activity focus on securing funding for reclamations investment in the project. These priorities underscore the importance of engaging with representatives at both levels of government.

Chair Durst thanked Congressman LaMalfa for his bipartisan support.

Director Sutton complimented staff on incorporating input received into both the federal and state priorities.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Tinchler, seconded by Mr. Kunde, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.5 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Evans, seconded by Director Arnold to approve agenda item 2.5. Motion carried: **All Directors present voted yes.**

10:30 a.m. Chair Durst declared a five-minute recess.

10:36 a.m. Chair Durst reconvened the meeting back into session.

3. DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION ITEMS:

3.1 Receive a program-level review of scope and schedule aspects of submitted Biological Assessments covering Construction and Operations.

Ms. Forsythe provided an update on the progress of the application submitted to the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) for an Incidental Take Permit (ITP). A letter was received late November deeming the application incomplete and requesting additional information. To address this, biweekly meetings have been established with CDFW to address the requests and complexities of the permit, which involved federal actions. Despite the complexity, Ms. Forsythe expressed confidence in navigating through the process, emphasizing the need for time and dialogue. The goal is to obtain the ITP to align with the water right hearings and will continue to work closely with CDFW to meet the deadline and maintain the schedule. Overall, progress is being made, although there are still challenges to overcome.

Ms. Forsythe outlined a two-step approach on the federal side. The first step involves programmatic consultation for operations within the Central Valley Project (CVP) and State Water Project (SWP) re-consultation. This process is tied to the schedule of the CVP SWP, leading to some uncertainty. The second step is project-level construction for the Sites project. Consultation of the re-consultation of the DVP SWP has begun, including the Sites project as a programmatic project. Ms. Forsythe anticipates the need for additional quantitative analysis and is preparing for it. Reclamation also submitted a construction biological assessment in November with feedback pending. The expectation is that construction and programmatic consultations will be issued together. While construction may proceed faster, it will be held until the CVP SWP consultation is ready. Optimistically, they aim to complete both steps by July and proceed to project-level operations consultation. However, the timeline depends on the progress of the overall re-consultation. Discussions with the federal services regarding the construction biological assessment have been limited to date; Ms. Forsythe expressed that they are eager to address any questions or concerns to expedite the process.

ED Brown highlighted the uncertainties associated with issuing permits for this project. He noted that while there is no requirement for the state and federal agencies to associate the project with other permitting processes, it often happens in practice. He emphasized the need to drive decisions forward despite uncertainties and lack of perfect information, citing similar challenges with the Bay

Delta plan. He mentioned the risk of making decisions without knowing the full outcome of state and federal permits, which could affect the project's operations. ED Brown urged the importance of being mindful of these risks and trade-offs as they navigate through the permitting process and other ongoing developments in the water sector.

Director Sutton acknowledged that ED Brown's comments resonated with the challenges faced with the project's permits. He concurred that navigating these challenges has been an ongoing struggle for this project and others.

3.2 Receive an overview of Project operations modeling using the recently developed CalSim model platform (commonly referred to as CalSim 3). (Attachment A)

Ms. Forsythe provided an update on the progress made with CalSim. Since mid-year last year, efforts have been focused on transitioning to the new model, CalSim 3, which involves incorporation of the project's physical infrastructure, diversion, and storage of water along with complex considerations such as reservoir releases. The exchanges with Shasta will be the last piece to the model. The transition to this new model marks a significant step and is expected to bring about changes to the baseline. Ms. Forsythe briefly revisited the 2022 results from CalSim 2, reviewed the project releases from April 2022, broken down by regions and purposes. She highlighted the model's ability to simulate various opportunities and constraints, emphasizing the importance of considering its implications holistically.

3.3 Review and comment on the Lower Colusa Basin Drain (LCBD) System's list of potential long-term agreements and related near-term actions to support the Benefits and Obligations Contract development process. (Attachment A & B)

Mr. Robinette introduced Ms. Flores, who will lead the presentation, and he underscored the significance of the presentation, noting that it reflects the substantial efforts undertaken since the Board provided direction on the principles for use of the system.

Ms. Flores highlighted the project team's focus on the LCBD system. In September last year, the principles were adopted, provided guidelines for the project's utilization of the LCBD system. The presentation discussed the background of the CBD system, its age, and the portion of it the project proposes to use. The new Dunnigan pipeline will connect Sites Reservoir water to the Tehama Colusa Canal downstream. Over the past year, assessments have been conducted on project operations. A summary list of potential agreements was presented, categorized by project phase, with certain agreements prioritized for immediate focus. Land rights issues, conservation easements, flowage agreements, and operational adjustment with Department of Water Resources and Reclamation District (RD108) were highlighted as key areas of focus for the next six months. The list of agreements

remains a work in progress, subject to additional studies, discussions, and potential modifications.

ED Brown summarized the progress, acknowledging the complexity of the situation while expressing optimism about understanding how the project will fit and collaborating with those in that area. He expressed gratitude for the decision to involve RD108, highlighting their exceptional assistance, and assured that despite the challenges, the goals will be reached with time and effort.

Mr. Kunde, as Chair of the Operations and Engineering Workgroup, highlighted the established organization and keen attention to any potential issues or red flags. He expressed confidence in staff's performance thus far and maintained optimism on navigating successfully.

Mr. Robinette emphasized the intention to prioritize areas that have a significant impact on investors and decision-makers. He highlighted the shortlist of agreements that has been identified, which will offer more assurance to Participants upon signing. Some agreements may initially resemble term sheets due to ongoing negotiations, particularly regarding land agreements, and clarified there is a clear path forward.

Sabrina Snyder submitted online a question if there is a separate list of agreements for impacts, such as with Glenn, Colusa, or Yolo County and if so, requested a reference. ED Brown replied to Ms. Snyder's request with a reference to the EIR and assured her that this would be verified, and a response would be provided.

Mr. Robinette conveyed thanks to the individuals who have been participating in the working group at RD108's office, including representatives from various agencies and individual owners. He acknowledged their contributions to the understanding of the area and the thoughtful solutions they have brought to the table. Mr. Robinette emphasized the ongoing collaborative nature of the process, with plans to continue meetings.

Mr. Wallace, member of the LCBD Working Group, expressed appreciation for the commitment of the Authority to the permit process and their efforts to protect the drains to ensure the success of agriculture in the area. He emphasized the importance of working through the operations agreements for the lower parts of the drain to ensure success of the project. He noted the process is progressing well and thanked the Authority for their efforts.

Chair Durst gave an overview for those unfamiliar with the LCBD system, noting that the system is nearly 120 years old and has suffered from years of no maintenance. The terrain in the area is notably flat requiring significant effort to move water through the system. Landowners in the vicinity have properties with

minimal elevation, approximately twenty-five feet above sea level. He commended the team for their efforts in reaching out to those no longer involved in the process, emphasizing the importance of their input.

4. REPORTS:

4.1 Chairs' Reports:

Vice Chair Azevedo reported that, as of this morning, the Sacramento River is in excess. According to the tool, Ms. Forsythe demonstrated earlier today, we would be diverting now and continuing until February 19th. He highlighted that it is crucial to note that this projection is with normal winter conditions.

Chair Pryor reported that with the Governor's release of his budget he specifically mentioned that Sites Reservoir was one of his priorities. She emphasized the governor is very vocal in his support of this project.

Director Sutton attended the Glenn Colusa Cattlemen's dinner last night, where he delivered a presentation and received positive support and inquiries from attendees at the event.

Chair Durst stated that Karl Stock was recently appointed as the Regional Director for Reclamation's California- Great Basin Region. He encouraged all to mention their involvement in the project when meeting with him. Additionally, Chair Durst reminded all that elections for both the Reservoir Committee and Authority board will take place in February.

4.1 Committee & Workgroup Chairs' Reports:

Mr. Kunde offered a report on the Operations & Engineering Workgroup meeting held on January 11, 2024. There is favorable news on emergency drawdown with the 7-day period now 10 days and a determination has been made that there will be an equal split between two release facilities for the project. The inundation map will be finalized after further information is provided on flow release and areas are confirmed. A cost estimate is expected which will reflect an increase with inflation and interest rates. Mr. Kunde notes that the Sites project is less sensitive to escalation due to the use of native materials. Operational plan work is continuing and lastly a workgroup to focus on conveyance questions may be formed and meet before the next Operations & Engineering Workgroup meeting in March.

Director Sutton provided an update on the Legislative and Outreach Committee. A February meeting is scheduled, and state capital and federal outreach activities are proposed for March and April.

4.3 Authority Board & Reservoir Committee Participant Reports:
Participant reports were not provided.

4.4 Executive Director's Reports:

Executive Director Brown spoke to the following:

ED Brown welcomed the City of Sacramento, acknowledging their transition with Sacramento County. He thanked Kerry Schmitz for her service during the past year

and welcomed Councilwoman Lisa Kaplan as the new city representative. Additionally, he mentioned the upcoming Colusa Farm show in February, where the Family Water Alliance has offered to share a booth with Sites. Representatives from the Sites project will be present at the booth to address any questions about the project.

Chair Durst declared a recess at 11:38 a.m. and convened into Closed Session.

5. CLOSED SESSION:

5.1 Conference with legal counsel regarding existing litigation (Gov. Code §§54956.9(d)(2)).

Friends of the River, et al. v. Sites Project Authority, et al., Yolo County Superior Court, Case No. CV2023-2626

5.2 Negotiations concerning water right permit terms and conditions (Govt. Code §54956.9(c) and §54956.9(d)(4)).

5.3 Conference with Real Property Negotiators (Gov. Code § 54956.8)

Property: [Colusa County] APNs 011-150-022-000, 011-150-021-000

Agency negotiators: Jerry Brown, Kevin Spesert

Negotiating parties: Banyon

Under negotiation: Price and terms of payment

Chair Durst adjourned Closed Session at 1:40 p.m. and reconvened into Open Session.

6. REPORT FROM CLOSED SESSION:

GC Doud stated the following reportable action taken on Closed Session matters. Staff and council received direction regarding management of the CEQA litigation.

7. RECAP:

7.1 Suggested Future Agenda Items.

No suggested future agenda items provided.

7.2 Upcoming Meetings:

Reservoir Committee & Authority Board

Friday, February 16, 2024 (9:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.)

Maxwell Project Office & Virtual

Chair Durst adjourned the Reservoir Committee and Authority Board Meeting at the hour of 1:42 p.m.

Fritz Durst, Authority Board Chair

Valerie Pryor, Reservoir Committee Chair

Terri Boland, Board Clerk

Current Voting Committee Participants (22):

	%	Participant		%	Participant
<input type="checkbox"/>	3.47	American Canyon, City	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2.57	LaGrande WD
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.42	Antelope Valley-East Kern WA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	17.29	Metropolitan WD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.28	Coachella Valley WD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.47	Reclamation District 108
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.28	Colusa County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2.42	Rosedale-Rio Bravo WSD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.05	Colusa County WD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	8.70	San Bernardino Valley MWD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2.41	Cortina WD (1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6.48	San Geronio Pass WA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2.87	Davis WD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2.42	Santa Clara Valley WD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4.22	Desert WA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.77	Santa Clarita Valley WA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.17	Dunnigan WD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.89	Westside WD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.77	Glenn-Colusa ID	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.19	Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa WSD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2.57	Irvine Ranch WD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.28	Zone 7 WA
				100.00	Total

20 Voting members represented at the start of the meeting-

94.11% Represented participation percentage.

Representation has been delegated as follows:

(1) Proxy to Jamie Traynham, Davis WD

(‡) Not present after _____

Current Voting Authority Board (9)		Primary		Alternate
Colusa County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gary Evans	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mike Azevedo
Colusa County Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Joe Marsh	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Hal Charter Shelly Murphy
Glenn County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Thomas Arnold	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jim Yoder
Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Logan Dennis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	John Amaro
Reclamation District 108	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fritz Durst	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	Sean Doherty Hilary Reinhard
Placer County Water Agency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gray Allen	<input type="checkbox"/>	Joshua Alpine
Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jeff Sutton	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Halbert Charter
Sacramento County	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	Anne Sagar Brett Ewart
Westside Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Doug Parker	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Zach Dennis Dan Ruiz

Associate Members (non-voting)		Primary		Alternate
Western Canal Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Greg Johnson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ted Trimble

Non-Voting Committee Participants (2)		Primary		Alternate/Other
Department of Water Resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rob Cooke	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jagruti Maroney Itzia Rivera
Bureau of Reclamation	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adam Nickels Allison Jacobson	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Don Bader Natalie Taylor

Current Voting Reservoir Committee (22)		Primary		Alternate
American Canyon, City	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jason Holley	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Antelope Valley-East Kern WA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Matt Knudson	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dan Flory
Coachella Valley Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Robert Cheng	<input type="checkbox"/>	Petya Vasileva
Colusa County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mike Azevedo	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gary Evans
Colusa County Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Halbert Charter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Shelly Murphy
Cortina Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jim Peterson	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Chuck Grimmer Jamie Traynham
Davis Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jamie Traynham	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tom Charter

Desert Water Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mark Krause	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Steve Johnson
Dunnigan Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bill Vanderwaal	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Greg Krzys
Irvine Ranch Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paul Weghorst	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Kellie Welch
LaGrande Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Zach Dennis	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Metropolitan Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Randall Neudeck	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nina Hawk Sam Smalls
RD 108	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bill Vanderwaal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Lewis Bair
Rosedale-Rio Bravo Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Trent Taylor	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dan Bartel
San Bernardino Valley Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bob Tincher	<input type="checkbox"/>	Heather Dyer
San Geronio Pass Water Agency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Lance Eckhart	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Thomas Todd Emmett Campbell
Santa Clara Valley Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cindy Kao	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Katherine Maher
Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Steve Cole	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ali Elhassan
Westside Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Allen Myers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dan Ruiz
Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa Water Storage District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rob Kunde	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sheridan Nicholas
Zone 7 Water Agency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Valerie Pryor	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lillian Xie

Authority, Non-Signatory (6)		Primary		Alternate
PCWA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ed Horton	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ben Barker
Roseville	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	George Hanson Scott Alvord	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sean Bigley Darin Reintjes
City of Sacramento	<input type="checkbox"/>	Kerry Schmitz	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mike Peterson

Sites Project Authority:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jerry Brown	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ali Forsythe	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Kevin Spesert
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	JP Robinette	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Joe Trapasso	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Marcia Kivett
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alan Doud	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Terri Boland		

Members of the Public

<i>Name</i>	<i>Representing</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Representing</i>
Stanley Robert	CDFW	Erin Huang	Jacobs
Brian Thomas	Sites Project	Mike Smith	AECOM
Ryan Thomason	HTHG Law	Pete Rude	Jacobs
Juleah Cordi	Office of Assembly Member James Gallagher	Dan Schultz	Nordic
Sarah Katz	Katz & Associates	Scott Brown	LWA
Cheyenne Harris	Brown & Caldwell	Rubina Chaudhary	Marrs Corp
Henry Luu	HDR	Conner McDonald	CMD West
John Spranza	HDR	Amy Young	DWR
Ryan Shaw	WMWD	Michelle Henry	ICF
Mark Shafer	GCINC	Tim Hodson	Will Scot
Jessica Sikora	Bureau	Anthony Middleton	Kiewit
Dee Bradshaw	Metropolitan WD	Angela Bezonne	MBK Engineers
Greg Welch	Jacobs	Gavin Downs	Valley Water
Antonio Acevedo	NCCRC	Elizabeth Foss	Zone 7
Marcus Maltby	Brown & Caldwell	Najwa Pitois	SCVWA
Michael Hether	City of Fairfield	Sean Prichard	KSN
Rubina Chaudhary	Marrs Corp	Jim Bowley	MWH Constructors
Alan Boyce	Materra	Dave Schwartzel	Twining
Steve Grasha	DWA	Tom Rossman	AECOM
Wendy Tyler	Colusa County	David Morgan	District Representative for Congressman Doug LaMalfa
Mike Urkov	Colusa County	Keith Dunn	Dunn Consulting
Tony Firenzi	PCWA	Mike Pugh	Dewberry
Jordan Gobel	Dewberry	Casey Tull	Local 3 Operating Engineers

Members of the Public

<i>Name</i>	<i>Representing</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Representing</i>
Chuck Adamson	Local 3 Operating Engineers	Phil Welker	Geo Engineers Inc.
Jim Wallace	Colusa Drain Mutual Water Co.	Matt Goody	Northeastern CA Building Trades/ Local 228
Jill Miller	TEPA Companies	Lisa Gastaldo	Tutor Perini
530-308-9839	530-301-1030	Ayoub Antabeel	MWH Constructors
Amparo Flores	Brown & Cauldwell	Claudia Bolanos	Palmdale Water
Joy Eldredge	City of Napa	Peter Nelson	Wonderful Farms
Sabrina Snyder	Yolo County	Mike DeMascio	NW Pipe