sitesproject.org

Reservoir Committee/Authority Board Meeting — October 16, 2026

Authority Board Chair:	Fritz Durst (Reclamation District 108)
Authority Board Vice Chair:	Jeff Sutton (Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District)
Reservoir Committee Chair:	Mike Urkov (Westside Water District)
Reservoir Committee Vice Chair:	Robert Cheng (Coachella Valley Water District)
Treasurer:	Jamie Traynham (Davis Water District)

Minutes

CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

Chair Durst called the Authority Board (AB) and Reservoir Committee (RC) Meeting to order at 9:01 a.m., followed by Roll Call and the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL/ATTENDANCE:

Authority Board: 8 members were present, constituting a quorum.

Reservoir Committee: 18 representatives (88.82%) were present, constituting a quorum.

INTRODUCTIONS:

Members of the Authority Board, Reservoir Committee and public introduced themselves.

AGENDA APPROVAL:

ACTION RC: It was moved by Ms. Pryor, seconded by Vice Chair Cheng, to approve the October 16, 2026, meeting agenda. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Vice Chair Sutton, seconded by Director Evans, to approve the October 16, 2026, meeting agenda. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ANNOUNCEMENT OF CLOSED SESSION

General Counsel (GC) Doud announced that the Authority Board of Directors and the Reservoir Committee Members would consider Closed Session Items 5.1 and 5.2.

PERIOD FOR PUBLIC COMMENT:

Chair Durst opened the period for public comment. One public comment was received. The commenter expressed concerns regarding Barnard Construction Company's involvement in the project and encouraged the Board to revisit previously raised concerns. The commenter stated that the carpenter scope of work had been understated during the reservoir construction package award and noted the significant carpenter-related work anticipated under the conveyance package.

Hearing no further public comment, Chair Durst closed the period for public comment.

1. CONSENT AGENDA:

ACTION RC: It was moved by Ms. Saenz, seconded by Ms. Pryor, to approve all items on the consent agenda. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Kaplan, seconded by Director Roccucci, to approve all items on the consent agenda. **Motion carried unanimously.**

1.1 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of September 18, 2026 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board Meeting Minutes.

1.2 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider acceptance of the Sites Project Authority Treasurer's Report.

1.3 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of the Sites Project Authority Payment of Claims.

1.4 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of a task order amendment with CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc. for additional operations modeling services and conveyance package engineering support in the amount of $264,200, increasing the 2026 not to exceed amount from $1,486,400 to a revised 2026 not to exceed of $1,750,600.

1.5 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of a task order amendment with HDR for additional scope of work for extension of staff Engineering and Permitting services in the amount of $166,000 bringing the not to exceed total task order amount to $1,555,558 for the period of January 1, 2026 through December 31, 2026.

2. ACTION ITEMS:

2.1 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of: (1) Contract for Administration of Public Benefits (CAPBs) final delivery quantities for ecosystem and drought emergency contingent upon the Project's final water right being substantially the same as the September 3 Revised Draft Permit and (2) increasing the flood and recreation benefit duration from 75 years to 92 years.

ED Brown presented proposed updates to the Contracts for Administration of Public Benefits (CAPBs) based on September 3, 2026 Revised Draft Water Right Permit. He explained that the revised permit provides opportunities for increased public benefits compared to the March version used in the California Water Commission's (CWC) June supplemental funding determination. The proposed updates remain contingent upon the final water right being substantially consistent with the revised draft.

ED Brown reviewed the proposed ecosystem and drought emergency delivery quantities, noting that the revised permit provides increased drought emergency benefits. He also discussed adjustments to ecosystem benefit valuations, including recognizing deliveries beginning upon project operations, and proposed extending the flood control and recreation benefit duration from 75 to 92 years.

ED Brown explained that the proposed benefit valuations represent staff estimates and remain subject to CWC review and available funding. He noted that adjustments to delivery quantities may be necessary to align the benefits with available Proposition 1 funding.

Board members questioned how ecosystem delivery quantities would be incorporated into the CAPBs and discussed potential future Bay-Delta water quality requirements and whether additional environmental contributions could affect the project's public benefit obligations.

Additional discussion addressed drought emergency delivery targets, including the six-event or 35-year timeframe and adaptive management provisions. Board members also discussed the proposed extension of recreation benefits to 92 years. ED Brown confirmed that the Authority would have the ability to establish recreation fees to help recover operating costs, subject to future Board decisions. Board members emphasized the importance of recreation benefits to the local community.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Vice Chair Cheng, seconded by Mr. Weghorst, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.1 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Vice Chair Sutton, seconded by Director Kaplan, to approve agenda item 2.1. **Motion carried unanimously.**

Mr. Holley joined the meeting at 9:42 a.m.

2.2 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider authorizing the Executive Director to submit the Water Storage Investment Program Final Funding Award Hearing Request to initiate the process toward final funding award by the California Water Commission.

ED Brown presented the item, explaining that submission would initiate the CWC process toward a final funding award. He reviewed the required CWC determinations, including project feasibility, compliance with applicable laws and regulations, and consistency with long term Delta objectives.

ED Brown also explained that the hearing request includes the required certifications and acknowledgments and that the process is expected to take approximately two months. He noted that any material changes arising during the process would be brought back to the Board.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Ms. Pryor, seconded by Ms. Saenz, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.2 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Evans, seconded by Director Parker, to approve agenda item 2.2. **Motion carried unanimously.**

2.3 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider acceptance of the Evaluation Committee recommendation of the Conveyance Construction Manager at Risk statements of qualifications deemed best qualified to continue in the procurement process and be requested to submit proposals.

Mr. Natoli presented the Evaluation Committee's recommendation to shortlist three firms for the Conveyance Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) Procurement. He explained that the statements of qualifications (SOQs) were evaluated based on technical experience with major project components, CMAR contracting experience, team qualifications, organizational structure, and experience with similar projects and owners.

Mr. Natoli reported that three SOQs were received from Barnard-Ames Joint Venture, Flatiron Dragados-AECOM Joint Venture, and Kiewit. All three demonstrated the required qualifications, satisfied the pass/fail criteria, and received favorable evaluations. Mr. Natoli further reported that the Evaluation Committee unanimously recommended shortlisting each firm and advancing all

three firms to the proposal phase. Mr. Natoli then outlined the anticipated procurement schedule.

Mr. Kunde questioned whether staff had anticipated receiving more SOQs. ED Brown explained that the response was consistent with expectations following industry outreach and emphasized the significance of having three highly qualified contractors interested in the project.

Board members discussed coordination between major construction contractors and expressed appreciation for staff's efforts to advance the procurement.

Additional discussion addressed the Project Labor Agreement (PLA) and opportunities for contractors. Staff confirmed that the adopted PLA template applies to alternative delivery projects, while future traditional design-bid-build contracts may be considered separately. No determinations regarding those future contracts have been made.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Plinski, seconded by Mr. Holley, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.3 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Kaplan, seconded by Director Evans, to approve agenda item 2.3. **Motion carried unanimously.**

2.4 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board approve selection of senior manager and co-managers for the Sites Authority's initial issuance of short-term notes.

Ms. O'Connell presented the recommendation for selection of a senior manager and co-managers for the Authority's initial issuance of short-term notes. She reported that 12 firms submitted proposals following the Request for Proposals issued in August 2026. Proposals were evaluated based on financing approaches, qualifications and experience, key personnel, and overall proposal quality.

Ms. O'Connell stated that the recommendation is to select J.P. Morgan as senior manager, with Bank of America, Loop Capital and Wells Fargo as co-managers. She noted that the firms demonstrated extensive underwriting experience, thoughtful financing approaches, and a strong understanding of the project and its participants.

Ms. O'Connell outlined the anticipated schedule, including preparation of financing documents through March 2027, Board consideration of

financing documents and ratings in March or April, and a potential sale of notes in June or July 2027, subject to the project schedule.

Mr. Smalls asked about anticipated structure of the notes, including fixed versus variable interest rates. Ms. O'Connell noted that specific financing structures had not yet been determined and that achieving favorable credit ratings would be an importance consideration.

In response to a question from Ms. Saenz, Ms. O'Connell explained that the senior manager would lead the preparation of financing documents and coordinate the underwriting team, while the co-managers would support document preparation, marketing, and distribution of the notes to investors.

During consideration of the motion, it was clarified that the requested action was approval of the selection of the senior manager and co-managers only, not authorization to issue the short-term notes.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Ms. Saenz, seconded by Mr. Plinski, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.4 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Vanderwaal, seconded by Director Parker, to approve agenda item 2.4. **Motion carried unanimously.**

2.5 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board accept the Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification issued by the State Water Resources Control Board for the Project and direct staff to proceed with implementation.

Ms. Forsythe reported that the Water Resources Control Board had issued the Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification for the project. The certification authorizes the overall project but requires additional approvals for individual activities affecting waters of the state before construction activities may proceed.

Ms. Forsythe explained that several requested revisions were incorporated into the final certification, including clarification of the relationship between the certification and the water right, as well as narrowing certain field survey requirements associated with mitigation activities.

Board members expressed concerns regarding the absence of the required agency review timelines and potential for permitting delays. Ms. Forsythe confirmed that the certification does not establish specific review

deadlines but noted that the State Board's Section 401 permitting staff have experience with similar projects and are not expected to unnecessarily delay construction.

Board members also discussed overlapping environmental permitting requirements, associated costs, and opportunities for legislative changes to reduce duplication.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Vice Chair Cheng, seconded by Mr. Bair, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.5 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Evans, seconded by Vice Chair Sutton, to approve agenda item 2.5. **Motion carried unanimously.**

3. **Discussion and Information Items:**

3.1 Receive an update on progress of the Project Alignment Ad Hoc Workgroup and provide comments on concepts being evaluated to support continued Sacramento Valley participation, improve Project performance, and advance the shared interests of all Participants.

ED Brown provided an update on the Project Alignment Ad Hoc Workgroup, established to explore opportunities to maintain Sacramento Valley participation, improve project performance, and advance the shared interests of all Participants.

ED Brown reported that the Workgroup had held eight meetings and identified three potential concepts for further consideration. He emphasized that the concepts remain preliminary and are intended to address shared interests, including conveyance reliability and continued Sacramento Valley participation. The purpose of the update was to obtain Board feedback before further developing potential solutions.

Workgroup members acknowledged the complexity of the discussions and expressed support for continued meetings to further develop the concepts. Board members noted the three concepts could potentially be implemented individually or in combination, depending on participant interests and agreements.

Board members discussed the importance of reaching a workable resolution within the limited timeframe for finalizing the Benefits and Obligations (B&O) Contract. It was noted that additional agency representatives and principals would participate in upcoming Workgroup

discussions (while staying within quorum limits) to help advance potential solutions.

Discussion also addressed whether the concepts should be incorporated into the B&O Contract or addressed through supplemental agreements or future Board decisions. Mr. Kunde expressed concern that provisions incorporated into the B&O Contract could be difficult to modify. ED Brown acknowledged the value of maintaining flexibility while emphasizing the need to provide Sacramento Valley Participants with sufficient certainty regarding their participation and financial obligations before executing the B&O Contract.

Board members discussed the possibility of developing draft language for review ahead of upcoming meetings, recognizing that the concepts require further refinement and should not delay the overall contracting process.

3.2 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board receive update on finalizing the Benefits and Obligations Contract, Joint Powers Agreement, and Bylaws.

ED Brown provided an update on efforts to finalize the B&O Contract. He explained that staff continues to incorporate previously reviewed changes and present newly proposed revisions for participant consideration. He emphasized that the purpose of the update was to identify any remaining concerns rather than seek final approval.

ED Brown noted that the revisions have become minimal and that the B&O Contract is nearing completion, with the primary outstanding issue involving potential language associated with the Project Alignment Ad Hoc Workgroup's recommendations.

Board members discussed the need to further develop the Project Alignment concepts before determining whether and how they should be incorporated into the B&O Contract. Members acknowledged the importance of advancing the agreement while allowing sufficient time to address outstanding issues.

3.3 Receive an update and provide comments on the final draft Sites Project Baseline Report.

Mr. Robinette provided an update on the final draft of the Sites Project Baseline Report, noting that the report and its supporting attachments and reference documents had been posted to a dedicated page on the project website.

Mr. Robinette explained that the report is intended to serve as a central source of information regarding project benefits, costs, schedule, and risks, providing Participants with consistent information to share with their governing boards and stakeholders. He noted that the most comments received involved minor revisions and encouraged members to review the report and provide any additional feedback.

Mr. Kunde asked whether any changes had been made to the Plan of Finance since its previous release. Mr. Thomas confirmed that no changes had been made since the prior month.

A Board member noted that the Baseline Report would be included in an upcoming city council meeting packet, demonstrating its use in supporting participant agency discussions.

3.4 Review and comment on the status update on Acceptance of Offered Sites Capacity Interest. Acknowledge that the Staff provided Sample Resolution to Accept Offered Capacity Interest includes the required actions that each Participant must fulfill to be considered a completed acceptance.

ED Brown provided an update on the acceptance of offered Sites capacity interest. He introduced a tracking mechanism, included as Attachment A, to monitor required approvals by Participants, Authority Board members, and Associate Members. He emphasized that each agency, including those sharing a Board seat, must individually approve the Joint Powers Agreement and Bylaws amendments.

ED Brown also reviewed the sample Resolution to Accept Offered Capacity Interest, explaining that it identifies the required actions each Participant must complete for its acceptance to be considered final. He encouraged Participants to use the sample resolution as a checklist, even if their agencies elect to use different language or formats.

Board members discussed the designation of the authorized representatives to the Reservoir Management Board, including whether appointments should identify an individual or a position. ED Brown noted that changes to designated representatives may require additional agency action and agreed to consult legal counsel regarding potential flexibility in the appointment process.

Additional discussion addressed coordinating the filing of CEQA Notices of Determination among participating agencies. Staff agreed to consider providing a sample Notice of Determination to assist participants.

In response to a question regarding the deadline for accepting offered capacity, ED Brown explained that a firm deadline had not yet been established pending

issuance of the final water right and that Board approval would be sought to approve a deadline once the water right is received.

3.5 Review and comment on the proposed purpose, scope, and schedule for updating the Authority's Strategic Plan to guide the transition into Phase 3/4/5 and, in the near term, achievement of the Resolution to Construct milestone. Provide direction to initiate the Strategic Plan update process.

ED Brown presented the purpose, scope, and schedule for updating the Authority's Strategic Plan to guide the transition into Phases 3, 4, and 5, with an initial focus on achieving the Resolution to Construct milestone.

ED Brown explained that the current Strategic Plan, adopted in 2020, focused on making the Project affordable, permittable, and buildable while establishing an organization capable of delivering the project. As the Authority transitions into final design and construction, the updated plan will establish goals and policies addressing delegation of authority, accountability, project delivery, safety, cost, schedule, quality and organizational capabilities.

ED Brown proposed continuing with the facilitator used during the previous strategic planning process, beginning with Board member interviews and preparations, followed by workshops in the first quarter of 2027 to establish goals and objectives for the next phase.

Board members expressed support for updating the Strategic Plan, acknowledging the value of the 2020 plan in guiding the project and the need to establish new priorities as the Authority advances toward construction.

Mr. Neudeck requested information regarding value engineering efforts, potential cost reductions, and project risks be provided ahead of the workshops to help inform discussions.

Additional discussion addressed the importance of understanding potential cost growth and uncertainty. Staff noted that the Baseline Report includes information on value engineering opportunities and risk analysis and offered to provide further presentations to support the strategic planning process.

3.6 Receive a status report on discussions between the Authority and Maxwell Unified School District toward achieving an Impact Alleviation Agreement.

ED Brown provided an update on ongoing discussions with Maxwell Unified School District (MUSD) regarding a potential Impact Alleviation Agreement. He reported that correspondence had been exchanged following completion of the fiscal impact study prepared by BAE Urban Economics.

Maxwell Unified School District Superintendent Summer Shadley expressed concerns regarding the ongoing negotiations of an Impact Alleviation Agreement. She also expressed concern that the MUSD's comments on the draft fiscal impact study had not been adequately addressed and that the final report differed significantly from the draft.

Vice Chair Sutton acknowledged MUSD's frustration and expressed support for reaching a resolution. ED Brown explained that the consultant had considered MUSD's comments and that, in large part, the differences that remain are in regard to fiscal impacts related to potential impacts to MUSD due to the Sites Valley being inundated. Chair Durst suggested a Board Ad Hoc be formed to advise in the negotiations. Mr. Brown suggested that due to the nature of these negotiations Board guidance be by the full Board.

Board members expressed interest in supporting continued discussions and requested they receive to the final impact report to better understand the findings.

3.7 Receive an update on the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District and Tehama Colusa Canal Authority Facilities Use Agreements and provide feedback on any critical issues to address before the agreements return for consideration and approval in November.

Items 3.7 and 3.8 were combined for discussion. Chair Durst, Vice Chair Sutton, Director Vanderwaal, and Mr. Bair left the conference room prior to the presentation and discussion of items 3.7 and 3.8, at 11:05 a.m.

Mr. Robinette provided an update on the status of the Facilities Use Agreements with Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District and Tehama Colusa Canal Authority (TCCA), along with the Operations Agreement with Reclamation District 108. The agreements remained under negotiation, with outstanding issues involving compensation, operational responsibilities, risk allocation, and potential dependencies on the Project Alignment discussions.

Staff reviewed recent negotiations with TCCA and presented a revised framework addressing conveyance assessments, an administrative fee, establishment of an extraordinary maintenance reserve, and operational coordination. Staff indicated that the revised terms were considered fair and reasonable and that efforts were continuing to finalize the agreements.

Board members discussed the proposed TCCA compensation structure, including conveyance charges, an administrative fee, and a maintenance reserve. Board members requested clarification regarding the purpose of the administrative fee, the distinction between routine maintenance and extraordinary repairs, and provisions for replenishing and periodically reevaluating the reserve.

Additional discussion addressed potential operational impacts, including equipment wear, sedimentation, water quality, and maintaining service reliability for existing TCCA customers. Board members also discussed recognizing the financial benefits associated with Sites' participation in the canal system.

3.8 Receive a status update on the Operations Agreement with Reclamation District 108 and provide feedback on any specific areas of concern.

As part of the joint discussion under Item 3.7, staff provided a status update on the Operations Agreement with Reclamation District 108 (RD108).

Chair Durst, Vice Chair Sutton, Director Vanderwaal, and Mr. Bair returned to the conference room at 11:47 a.m.

4. Reports:

4.1 Chairpersons' Reports:

Chair Durst reported that he attended a Northen California Water Association celebration the previous evening, where Samantha Arthur, Deputy Secretary for Water, expressed her continued interest in the progress of the Sites Project, including the water right.

4.2 Committee & Workgroup Chairpersons' Reports:

Mr. Kunde reported that the Operations and Engineering Workgroup had not met since the last Board meeting.

Mr. Spesert reported that Landowner meetings were scheduled for October 28th in Maxwell and on October 29th in Dunnigan.

4.3 Authority Board & Reservoir Committee Participant Reports:

Director Roccucci reported on the upcoming elections and noted that she was running for a seat with Placer County Water Agency, where she had previously served for eight years.

4.4 Executive Director's Reports:

ED Brown provided an update on the remaining items necessary to finalize the Benefits and Obligations Contract, reporting that the draft Section 404 Permit had been received and that Memoranda of Understanding with both air districts had been completed.

ED Brown also reported attending a facilitated strategic planning session hosted by the Southern California Water Coalition to establish regional water goals and objectives. He noted that although discussions initially

focused on Southern California needs, participants emphasized the importance of considering water needs statewide, reflecting a shared commitment to addressing water challenges throughout California.

ED Brown also reported that Assembly Bill 1439 had been signed into law.

5. CLOSED SESSION:

5.1 Water Right Application 25517X01 (Govt. Code §54956.9(c) and §54956.9(d)(1)).

5.2 Public Employee Performance Evaluation (Govt. Code Section 54957)
Title: Executive Director

6. REPORT FROM CLOSED SESSION: 1:29PM

Counsel Doud reported that no action was taken in closed session.

7. **Recap:**

7.1 Suggested Future Agenda Items:

None.

7.2 Next Board Meeting:

Special Reservoir Committee and Authority Board Meeting **Friday, November 6, 2026** (9:00am to Noon).

Reservoir Committee and Authority Board Meeting **Friday, November 20, 2026** (9:00am to TBD).

Chair Durst adjourned the Reservoir Committee and Authority Board Meeting at 1:34 p.m.

_____ _____
Fritz Durst, Mike Urkov,
Authority Board Chair Reservoir Committee Chair

_____
Wendy Ambriz
Board Clerk

Current Voting Committee Participants (22):

	%	Participant		%	Participant
☒	3.47	American Canyon, City	☐	2.57	LaGrande WD
☐	2.42	Antelope Valley-East Kern WA	☒	17.29	Metropolitan WD
☒	5.28	Coachella Valley WD	☒	3.47	Reclamation District 108
☒	5.28	Colusa County	☐	2.42	Rosedale-Rio Bravo WSD
☒	5.05	Colusa County WD	☒	8.70	San Bernardino Valley MWD
☒	2.41	Cortina WD (1)	☒	6.48	San Gorgonio Pass WA
☒	2.87	Davis WD	☒	2.42	Santa Clara Valley WD
☒	4.22	Desert WA	☒	3.77	Santa Clarita Valley WA
☒	3.17	Dunnigan WD	☒	3.89	Westside WD
☐	3.77	Glenn-Colusa ID	☒	3.19	Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa WSD
☒	2.57	Irvine Ranch WD	☒	5.28	Zone 7 WA
				100.00	Total

18 Voting members represented at the start of the meeting
88.82% Represented participation percentage.

Representation has been delegated as follows:

(1) Proxy to Jamie Traynham, Davis WD

(‡) Not present after _____

Current Voting Authority Board (8)		**Primary**		**Alternate**
Colusa County	☒	Gary Evans	☐	Randy Wilson
Colusa County Water District	☐	Joe Marsh	☒ ☒	Hal Charter Shelly Murphy
Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District	☒	Jeff Sutton	☐	Logan Dennis
Reclamation District 108	☒	Fritz Durst	☐ ☐	Sean Doherty Hilary Reinhard
Placer County Water Agency/City of Roseville	☒	Pauline Roccucci	☐	Karen Alvord
Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority	☒	Bill Vanderwaal	☐	Jim Jones Zack Dennis
City of Sacramento/Sacramento County	☒	Lisa Kaplan	☐ ☐	Anne Sangar Brett Ewart
Westside Water District	☒	Doug Parker	☐ ☐	Zach Dennis Allen Myers

Associate Members (non-voting)		**Primary**		**Alternate**
Glenn County	☒	Tony Arendt	☐	Jim Yoder
Western Canal Water District	☐	Greg Johnson	☒ ☐	Ted Trimble Jenny Scheer
Zone 3	☒	Mike Urkov	☐	Jamie Traynham

Non-Voting Committee Participants (2)		**Primary**		**Alternate/Other**
Department of Water Resources	☒	David Gordon	☐	Lincoln King
Bureau of Reclamation	☐ ☐	Scott Taylor Allison Jacobson	☒	Jesse Sikora

Current Voting Reservoir Committee (22)		**Primary**		**Alternate**
American Canyon, City	☒	Jason Holley	☐	
Antelope Valley-East Kern WA	☐	Matt Knudson	☐	
Coachella Valley Water District	☒	Robert Cheng	☒	Petya Vasileva
Colusa County	☐	Mike Azevedo	☒	Daurice Kalfsbeek Smith
Colusa County Water District	☒	Halbert Charter	☒	Shelly Murphy
Cortina Water District	☐	Jim Peterson	☐ ☒	Chuck Grimmer Jamie Traynham
Davis Water District	☒	Jamie Traynham	☐	Tom Charter
Desert Water Agency	☒	Esther Saenz	☒	David Tate

Dunnigan Water District	☐	Jordon Navarrot	☒	Lewis Bair
RD 108	☐	Jordon Navarrot	☒	Lewis Bair
Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District	☐	Logan Dennis	☐	Louis Jarvis
Irvine Ranch Water District	☒	Paul Weghorst	☒	Robert Huang
LaGrande Water District	☐	Zach Dennis	☐	
Metropolitan Water District	☒	Randall Neudeck	☐ ☒	Nina Hawk Sam Smalls
Rosedale-Rio Bravo Water District	☐	Trent Taylor	☐	Dan Bartel
San Bernardino Valley Water District	☒	Michael Plinski	☐	Heather Dyer
San Gorgonio Pass Water Agency	☒	Lance Eckhart	☐ ☒	Jennifer Ustation Emmett Campbell
Santa Clara Valley Water District	☒	Katherine Maher	☐	Cindy Kao
Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency	☐	Steve Cole	☒	Ali Elhassan
Westside Water District	☐	Allen Myers	☒	Mike Urkov
Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa Water Storage District	☒	Rob Kunde	☐ ☒	Sheridan Nicholas Eric McDaris
Zone 7 Water Agency	☒	Valerie Pryor	☐	Ken Minn

Authority Shared Seats		**Primary**		**Alternate**
Roseville	☐ ☐	George Hanson Joshua Alpine	☐ ☐	Sean Bigley Darin Reintjes
Sacramento County	☐	Kerry Schmitz	☐	

Sites Project Authority:

☒	Jerry Brown	☒	Ali Forsythe	☒	Kevin Spesert	☒	JP Robinette	☒	Shayleen O'Connell	☒	Wendy Ambriz	☒	Alan Doud
☒	Dan O'Connell	☒	Kyle Hughes	☒	Rob Natoli	☒	Mario Manzo	☒	Conner McDonald	☒	Sarah Hodge		

Members of the Public

Name	*Representing*	*Name*	*Representing*
Glen Lawson	Arcadis	Vik Iso-Ahola	Stantec
Jamie Kolkey	Black & Veatch	Chuck Adamson	OE3
Juleah Cordi	Hon. Gallagher	David Haywood	GFT
Michael Drennan	Parsons	Christy Scofield	Public

Members of the Public

Name	*Representing*	*Name*	*Representing*
Ayoub Autabeel	MWH	Jeff Nelson	Parsons
Kristen Montejano	Colusa Resident	Stephen Peterson	City of Roseville
Jesse Johnson	OE3	Joe Sullivan	BKE Engineers
Casey Tull	OE3	Hailey Traynham	Brown & Caldwell
Travis Boyan	Peterson Cat	James Rickman	West Yost
John Martin	Double M Trucking	Masood Mesbah	Kleinfelder
Greg Herzog	SBVMWD		

Virtual Attendance

Virtual Attendance	**89**	
Sarah Hodge	Ryan Shaw	Dominic Coulter
Wendy Ambriz	Summer Shadley (External)	Michael Plinski (External)
15304546811 (Unverified)	Foss, Elizabeth (External)	Robert Cheng
Conference	Lance Eckhart Pass Agency (External)	Micko,Steve
Ken Bohannan (External)	Hentz, Chris (External)	Elizabeth L. Cousins
Robert Huang (External)	Cook, Matt (External)	Jeff Mathews (External)
Pamela Katleba-Jenkins Westside Water	Matt Goody (Unverified)	Peter Nelson
Sean Kelly	Pryor, Valerie (External)	Darin Reintjes (External)
Petya Vasileva	Brian Kirwan (External)	Sara M. Katz (External)
Tony Arendt (External)	Marcus Maltby (External)	Taylor, Scott D (External)
Emmett Campbell (External)	Stephanie Parsons (External)	Andrew Berselli (External)
Jerry Brown	David Tate (External)	Cheyanne Butcher (External)
Collin Wogenstahl (External)	Fritz Durst RD108 (Unverified)	Mike Fuller
Phil Brun (External)	Ryan Eitutis	Mark Gilkey (External)
Grace Lui	Brian Thomas (External)	Brian.Gardner
Josepha Miller (External)	Bill Sutter	Arsenijevic, Jelica (External)
Russell Ryan	Anthony Leonis (External)	15307523062 (Unverified)
Mickey Nixon (External)	Smalls,Samuel L	Joe Feeney
Ali Elhassan (External)	Paul Weghorst (External)	Anne Sanger (External)
Eric McDaris (External)	Steve Peters (External)	Gregory Cramer
Luu, Henry (External)	Tom Jacoubowsky (Unverified)	McIntyre, Dave (External)
Kanetis, Nick	Neudeck,Randall D	Fritz Durst RD108 (Unverified)
Eric.Patterson1	Davis-Fadtke, Kristal@Wildlife	16614734022 (Unverified)
Tom Jacoubowsky (Unverified)	Rivera, Itzia@DWR (External)	
Harold Glaser	Shelly Murphy (Unverified)	
Nathan Chevrefils	Sikora, Jessica L (External)	
Brenda (Unverified)	15304762784 (Unverified)	
Shayleen O'Connell	Jacobson, Allison M (External)	
Michael Miller (Unverified)	Esther Saenz (External)	
read.ai meeting notes (Unverified)	Young, Amy@DWR (External)	
De Mascio, Michael	Rude, Pete	
Nestor Garcia	Darren Morehead (Unverified)	
Douglas Montague (External)	Katherine Maher (External)	