

This summary updates the RC & AB’s requests from the February meeting.

Action Item	Status
<p>Discuss the Tentative CMAR Contract Project Labor Agreement at the Local Community Working Group meeting. Specifically explore considerations for local contracting and expansion of the “local area”.</p>	<p>Local Community Working Group is scheduled for March 19 and this item is planned for discussion.</p>
<p>Agreements: DWR/Reclamation/SPA Ops Agreement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide the specific considerations for terminating this agreement. • Include provision for timely release of payback item 	<p>Termination Events document sent to Paul Weghorst on 2.21.2026 and confirmed satisfactory.</p> <p>Payback is to occur as soon as practicable, with the goal to occur prior to the start of the transfer window, unless otherwise agreed to by the Authority and DWR or Reclamation as applicable.</p>
<p>DWR Exchange Agreement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider providing for future financial benefits to SWP and use of other SWP facilities in addition to Lake Oroville. 	<p>Recognition of future financial benefits will need to be addressed by a separate agreement when these benefits are expected to occur. Use of other SWP facilities is already afforded to Sites SWP Participants through Article 56 (c) (categories 2 and 3)</p>
<p>DWR Public benefits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarify the Colusa County’s expectation for flood control from the reservoir. • Project priorities – 1st is Water Supply, 2nd is Recreation 	<p>It is assumed that flood control in the reservoir can be accommodated without impacting water supply benefits</p> <p>Language has been proposed identifying that maximizing water supply is the priority in water management planning</p>
<p>CDFW Public Benefits – Consider including a strong un-wind provision to the agreement to ensure that the end of the agreement is successful.</p>	<p>Staff has proposed language to CDFW as is customary in water transfer agreements and is working through the finalization as part of the overall contract development</p>

<p>Partnership Agreement – Clarify whether Reclamations terms for sell and lease are consistent with Participants</p>	<p>It is proposed that Reclamation have the same sell provisions as Participants. As noted in the Dec25 draft of the B&O Contract, Reclamation would not be subject to the same lease provisions as Participants.</p>
<p>General –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• When Agreements are included in the packet for approval be sure to identify what changed since the 10-day posting, if anything.• If needed, schedule a 4-5 hour workshop for the B&O final review of major remaining issues (this is not a wordsmithing exercise)	<p>Will proceed as suggested.</p> <p>Discussing this topic at the March 20 Board meeting.</p>