

Reservoir Committee & Authority Board

March 20, 2026

Authority Board Chair: Fritz Durst (Reclamation District 108)
Authority Board Vice Chair: Jeff Sutton (Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority)
Reservoir Committee Chair: Mike Urkov (Westside Water District)
Reservoir Committee Vice Chair: Robert Cheng (Coachella Valley Water District)
Treasurer: Jamie Traynham (Davis Water District)

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

Chair Durst called the Authority Board (AB) and Reservoir Committee (RC) Meeting to order at 9:02 a.m., followed by Roll Call and the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL/ATTENDANCE:

Authority Board: 9 members were present, constituting a quorum.

Reservoir Committee: 18 representatives (87.77%) were present, constituting a quorum.

Chair Durst announced that individuals were not required to identify themselves if they were uncomfortable doing so.

INTRODUCTIONS:

Members of the Authority Board, Reservoir Committee and public introduced themselves.

AGENDA APPROVAL:

ACTION RC: Moved by Ms. Traynham, seconded by Mr. Navarrot to approve the March 20, 2026, meeting agenda. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: Moved by Director Kaplan seconded by Vice Chair Sutton, to approve the March 20, 2026, meeting agenda. **Motion Carried: All Directors present voted yes.**

ANNOUNCEMENT OF CLOSED SESSION

General Counsel (GC) Doud announced that the Authority Board of Directors and the Reservoir Committee Members would consider Closed Session Item 5.1.

PERIOD FOR PUBLIC COMMENT:

Chair Durst opened the period for public comment. Public comment referenced a recent community working group meeting, noting that new information was received and discussions raised concerns described as “problematic.” Additional comments included updates on the Project Labor Agreement (PLA). Comments of support were expressed, and the PLA was described as fair and open framework applicable to all parties.

Hearing no further comment, Chair Durst closed the period for public comment.

1. CONSENT AGENDA:

ACTION RC: Moved by Ms. Pryor, seconded by Ms. Saenz to approve all items on consent agenda. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Vice Chair Sutton, seconded by Director Vanderwaal to approve the consent agenda. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- 1.1 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of February 20, 2026, Reservoir Committee and Authority Board Meeting Minutes.
- 1.2 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider acceptance of the Sites Project Authority Treasurer’s Report.
- 1.3 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of the Sites Project Authority Payment of Claims.
- 1.4 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of a task order amendment with ICF & HDR to perform 2026 environmental survey work to support upcoming Phase 3 construction in 2027 in the amount of:
 - ICF: +\$1,601,000 making the revised total FY2026 contract authority not-to-exceed of \$3,066,000.
 - HDR: +\$161,100 making the revised total FY2026 contract authority not-to-exceed of \$1,477,570.

2. ACTION ITEMS:

- 2.1 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider authorizing the Executive Director to enter into an agreement with Reclamation related to accepting and conveying Incremental Level 4 refuge water as part of the Sites Project's Proposition 1 ecosystem benefits, conditioned upon no substantial changes from the terms described in this staff report.

Executive Director (ED) Brown introduced the item and Ms. Forsythe explained that Item 2.1 relates to providing Proposition 1 ecosystem water to the Bureau of Reclamation at designated points of acceptance. She stated that Reclamation would then convey and distribute that water to refuges under the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (CVPIA) Incremental Level 4 program.

Ms. Forsythe stated that the Authority is responsible for delivering water to the points of acceptance, and Reclamation is responsible for conveying the water beyond those points to the refuges. She explained that there is no exchange of funds between the Authority and Reclamation under this agreement, with each party responsible for its respective role.

Ms. Forsythe further explained that for south-of-Delta refuges, the Authority would move water through the Delta to locations such as Jones or Banks Pumping Plants, after which Reclamation would convey the water through its system to the refuges. For north-of-Delta refuges, coordination and additional agreements would be required. She stated that the agreement is largely drafted and that approval is being requested for the Executive Director to sign the agreement, conditioned upon no substantial changes, defined as no additional cost increases or substantial increases in risk to the Authority.

Vice Chair Sutton questioned responsibility for conveyance losses and costs, asking whether the Authority would be responsible for losses to the point of acceptance and how losses beyond that point would be handled. Ms. Forsythe responded that the Authority would be responsible for delivering sufficient water to account for losses to the point of acceptance, and that losses beyond that point would follow Reclamation's conveyance agreements.

Vice Chair Sutton stated that he would like to have a better understanding of this item and believed that further clarification was warranted.

Board members engaged in continued discussion regarding their understanding of the item and regarding needed clarification regarding

losses, the mechanics of conveyance, conveyance costs, and the understanding of losses that are accounted for within the Proposition 1 allocation.

Vice Chair Sutton reiterated the need for additional clarification and expressed his confusion on the discussion and answers received. He further stated that an understanding is needed before agreements are signed.

Director Kaplan asked whether it would be appropriate to hold the item for a month to allow for additional clarification and discussion, stating there may be value in allowing more time if there is confusion.

Board members engaged in continued discussion regarding the item and Ms. Forsythe provided clarifying answers. Vice Chair Sutton stated that an understanding of the dynamics is needed.

Mr. Kunde, speaking as Chair of the Operations and Engineering Workgroup, referenced prior presentations provided to the group regarding modeling results for moving water through the Delta. He stated that, based on those presentations, there is capacity to move water in dry and critically dry years, with quantities varying, and that there is also capacity in wetter conditions during certain months, such as October and November, when system demands are lower.

Vice Chair Sutton motioned to postpone Item 2.1 for a month, and Chair Durst accepted his motion but noted he would not ask for a second but would turn to the Reservoir Committee as has been the procedure in the past, and then he'd turn to the Authority Board.

Mr. Kunde then noted that although he had been prepared to support staff's recommendation, out of respect for the concerns raised during discussion he would move to table Item 2.1 until next month to allow additional time for those concerns to be reviewed and addressed, adding that ED Brown acknowledged that there would be no significant harm in doing so.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Kunde, seconded by Mr. Navarrot, to table item 2.1 and bring it back to the Board in April. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Vanderwaal, seconded by Director Kaplan, to table item 2.1 and bring the item back to the Board in April. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- 2.2 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of Memorandum of Understandings with Richvale Irrigation District and Western Canal Water District for conveyance of Prop 1 Sites Water to Upper Butte Basin Area.

Ms. Forsythe stated that item 2.2 is related to item 2.1 and involves a Memorandum of Understanding with Richvale Irrigation District and Western Canal Water District to convey Proposition 1 ecosystem water to a state wildlife area. She explained that these agreements are part of a series of agreements needed to implement delivery of ecosystem water and that they work in coordination with other agreements, including exchange agreements and conveyance agreements.

Vice Chair Sutton stated that he had the same concerns as those raised under item 2.1 and noted that the item reads differently in the agenda, stating that it appears more like an agreement rather than an agreement to agree. He suggested postponing the item to allow additional time for discussion and understanding.

Mr. Navarrot stated that the Memoranda of Understanding are narrower in scope and focused on identifying what is possible. He stated that he reviewed both items, and while he had some concerns, he was comfortable moving forward with item 2.2.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Navarrot, seconded by Ms. Traynham to recommend approval of agenda item 2.2 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Vice Chair Sutton, seconded by Director Kaplan, to approve agenda item 2.2. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- 2.3 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval Contingency Land Acquisition Program.

ED Brown introduced the item and stated that it responds to input from landowners and had been reviewed by the Land Management Committee.

Mr. Spesert presented the item and provided an overview of the early land acquisition program, stating that since late 2023, four properties totaling approximately 2,200 acres have been acquired, with two additional

properties under negotiation. He stated that these acquisitions include key infrastructure areas such as dams, pipelines, and inlet/outlet structures, and that the program has helped maintain the project schedule.

Mr. Spesert explained that the proposed contingency land acquisition program would allow the Authority to appraise properties, negotiate terms, and open escrow, but delay funding and closing until Phase 3 of the project. He stated that this approach would provide landowners with greater certainty while allowing the Authority to continue progressing toward construction. He stated that the program would be limited to ten agreements due to budget constraints, with prioritization based on parcels critical for early construction, parcels containing key infrastructure, and parcels that could support environmental mitigation.

Mr. Spesert further explained that participation would be open to landowners within the reservoir footprint and that the program would follow standard acquisition procedures, including appraisals, negotiations, and Board approval of purchase agreements. He stated that landowners have expressed interest in the program and that staff has received positive feedback through meetings and outreach.

Vice Chair Sutton stated that the program is very thoughtful and asked about the budget.

Ms. Saenz asked for confirmation on her thought that because escrow won't close unless contingency has been met, sales information will not be publicly available to affect future purchases. Mr. Spesert responded that she was correct.

Mr. Weghorst asked whether due diligence activities could begin once agreements are signed. Mr. Spesert stated that, following execution of purchase agreements, the Authority could proceed with activities such as environmental review, title work, and access for geotechnical investigations.

Ms. Pryor expressed her excitement about the program and referred to it as strategic and innovative.

Director Evans stated that the program would likely have a cascading effect, noting that as landowners observe others participating, it may encourage broader participation.

Mr. Plinski thanked staff for the thoughtfulness in creating the program and complimented the guardrails to protect the Authority.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Ms. Saenz, seconded by Mr. Azevedo to recommend approval of agenda item 2.3 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously with an abstention from Ms. Traynham.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Evans, seconded by Director Kaplan, to approve agenda item 2.3. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- 2.4 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider authorizing Staff to proceed with the governance proposal of further revisions to the contract documents and legislative activities related to Government Code Section 6508.

Chair Durst introduced the item and stated that it was brought forward in response to prior discussions regarding governance and the need to establish a structure appropriate for the next phase of the project, including construction and operations.

ED Brown stated that the proposed approach includes two components: 1) revisions to contract provisions, and 2) potential legislative action. He stated that these reflect the work of the Governance Ad Hoc and are intended to address concerns raised by participants.

ED Brown further explained that the contract revisions are included in the Board packet as redlines to the December draft of the Benefits and Obligations (B&O) contract and that the legislative component involves proposed amendments to Government Code Section 6508 to provide additional assurance regarding delegated powers.

He stated that staff is seeking confirmation from the Board to proceed with this approach and noted that the item does not request final approval of governance language, but rather agreement on the overall direction. He also stated that recent comments submitted by participants regarding voting thresholds had not yet been incorporated but would be reviewed through the Governance Ad Hoc process.

Mr. Weghorst stated that agencies may not be in a position to fully approve contract changes without review by legal counsel and governing boards. He suggested proceeding with the approach while allowing for further revisions through the workshop process.

Director Vanderwaal stated that he could support moving forward with the legislative component but would need legal counsel to review the contract

revisions before supporting those changes. He also raised concerns regarding the relationship between the B&O contract and the Joint Powers Authority structure.

Ms. Maher stated that she wants to be involved in governance discussion and supported the overall approach but stated that this should be considered draft language that should still be subject to review.

Vice Chair Sutton emphasized that the B&O contract is still in draft form. Ms. Pryor asks, and ED Brown confirms, that proceeding with the proposal of further revisions of legislative activities related to Government Code Section 6508 is critical.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Weghorst, seconded by Ms. Maher, to recommend approval to the Authority Board to authorize Staff to proceed with the governance proposal of further revisions to the draft contract documents for consideration by the Participants in advance of the upcoming B&O Workshops, and legislative activities related to Government Code Section 6508. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Kaplan, seconded by Director Vanderwaal, to authorize Staff to proceed with the governance proposal of further revisions to the draft contract documents for consideration by the Participants in advance of the upcoming B&O Workshops, and legislative activities related to Government Code Section 6508. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- 2.5 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider and possibly take action on the Brown Act cease and desist letter received on March 9, 2026.

ED Brown introduced the item and stated that it pertains to a letter received requesting a cease and desist regarding alleged Brown Act violations related to two committee meetings held in February. He stated that the request and applicable code provisions require that the matter be brought before the Board for consideration.

General Counsel (GC) Doud explained that before a lawsuit may be filed for an alleged Brown Act violation, a cease-and-desist letter must be issued. He stated that one option available to the Board is to issue an “unconditional commitment,” which must be considered in open session.

GC Doud stated that an unconditional commitment involves agreeing not to repeat the actions alleged in the letter, without admitting wrongdoing, to avoid litigation. He further stated that if the Board were to commit and then

repeat the same actions, it would constitute a violation regardless of whether the underlying action would otherwise be considered a violation.

GC Doud then described the alleged violations, including removal of a participant from a virtual meeting, the level of specificity in agenda descriptions, and issues related to identifying participants in virtual meetings. He stated that the proposed response commits to not repeating the alleged actions, with the exception that the Board cannot commit to allowing public participation in closed session.

Vice Chair Sutton clarified that the alleged action of removing an individual from a meeting was regarding a virtual meeting and that no one was physically removed from a meeting and asked for clarification on the specificity of agenda items.

Mr. Navarrot stated that he is supportive of a hybrid approach in that it is hard to agree to not repeat a subjective item of an agenda. GC Doud stated that the letter is written to state that to the extent that they are Brown Act violations, we will not repeat them.

Vice Chair Sutton stated that he was in one of the meetings he confirmed that there was no ill will. He further noted that robots and Artificial Intelligence (AI) are an issue. He also noted that in the defense of ED Brown, we always try to be compliant and transparent.

Ms. Pryor stated that she attended the meeting as well and that complying with the Brown Act is important and we do worry about robots. She stated that there was no intent to remove a real person from the meeting.

Chair Durst noted that the letter is being taken very seriously and that we need to do better. The Author alleged specific violations, and we agree not to do that again.

Chair Durst opened a period of public comment.

Public comment was received from an individual who stated that they participated in the referenced February meetings and that they disagreed with the characterization that removal from the meeting occurred during closed session. The speaker stated that the closed session was not announced during the meeting. The speaker further stated that individuals are not required to identify themselves to participate in a meeting and expressed disagreement with being removed from the virtual meeting. Finally, the speaker stated that they appreciated the Board considering an unconditional commitment.

Hearing no further comment, Chair Durst closed the period of public comment.

Mr. Kunde stated that he was present at one of the meetings and that his recollection aligned with staff’s description. He stated that he did not believe a participant was removed during the open portion of the meeting.

Vice Chair Sutton stated that there was an effort to confirm the identity of the participant and that the situation may have involved a misunderstanding or technical issue.

Board members expressed support for ED Brown, noting his goodwill, professional conduct, describing him as a rule follower, and commending his leadership and his receptiveness to comments, criticism and suggestions that could make the project more successful.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Kunde, seconded by Mr. Azevedo, to authorize Chair Durst to sign the Unconditional Commitment Letter as drafted by General Counsel. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Evans, seconded by Vice Chair Sutton, to authorize Chair Durst to sign the Unconditional Commitment Letter as drafted by General Counsel. **Motion carried unanimously.**

Chair Durst thanked the Board and stated that all the comments received regarding ED Brown were spot on and that he will execute the letter drafted by General Counsel.

Mr. Holley joined the meeting at 10:45 am

3. DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION ITEMS:

- 3.1 Receive a status update #3 on the development of the Program Baseline Report – Water Supply Benefits.

Mr. Robinette presented the baseline report and described it as establishing the status of the project, including scope, schedule and cost assumptions. He referenced prior Board direction and stated that the baseline reflects the current understanding of the project moving forward.

Mr. Robinette outlined key components of the baseline, including project elements, coordination efforts, and ongoing work. He noted that the

baseline will serve as a reference point for tracking progress and future updates.

Ms. Forsythe provided an update from the water perspective. She described ongoing work related to water operations, including coordination required to support delivery and implementation of project water. She noted that efforts are underway to align operational planning with project requirements and that additional work is continuing to refine those elements.

Board members asked questions regarding how the baseline report reflects current project assumptions and how it will be used moving forward, including its role in guiding future decisions, tracking progress, and aligning with upcoming milestones.

- 3.2 Receive a report on Participant comments on the December 2025 draft Benefits & Obligation Contract, Bylaws and amended and restated Joint Powers Agreement.

Mr. Robinette introduced the item and stated that the B&O contract, Joint Powers Agreement and Bylaws have been under review for several years, with the latest drafts released in December 2025. He stated that comments were requested by February 2nd and comments were received from eight participants.

Mr. Robinette stated that the purpose of the item is to provide a report on the status of the comments received, identify common themes, and confirm whether the issues summarized reflect the remaining items needing resolution. He further stated that staff is working toward narrowing down to a final set of issues and referenced two proposed options for finalizing the contract, noting that additional approaches could also be considered.

Mr. Robinette stated that several issues have been resolved, including the default waterfall, which now includes caps and protections for participants. He also stated that conditions precedent to construction and off-ramps have been addressed.

He identified remaining priority issues involving governance, downstream capacity, the role of the acquirer, partner facility considerations, approval of amendments and changes related to delivery points and release of water.

Board members discussed the status of contract development and acknowledged that the B&O contract and Bylaws have been under review for an extended period and are moving forward to a final set of remaining issues.

Discussion focused on confirming that the summarized issues accurately reflect outstanding concerns and identifying the need to bring participants together to resolve those items.

Board members also discussed the proposed workshop approach, including holding a Brown Act noticed session to work through remaining issues collectively.

Discussion also reflected the need to continue refining contract language and incorporating participant feedback as the documents move toward finalization.

3.3 Receive an update on Lower Colusa Basin Drain System conditions precedent to Investor Commitment.

Mr. Natoli presented an update on the Lower Colusa Basin Drain System conditions precedent to Investor Commitment. He provided an update on the Letter of Mutual Understanding (LOMU) and the pipeline to the river versus Lower Colusa Basin Drain analysis.

Mr. Natoli stated that the LOMU was provided to the working group in February, followed by a working group meeting where feedback was received. The group was described as engaged but still processing the material and expressed interest in reviewing modeling results.

He described the Lower Colusa Basin Drain working group, stating that it includes agencies with jurisdiction over the drain, including RD 108 and related entities, and has been expanded to include landowners along the drain.

Mr. Natoli stated that initial modeling results show extents of inundation under certain conditions, which help define potential embankment improvements, costs, system losses, and impacts. He stated that Technical Memorandums are being developed, which will include hydraulic modeling and a comparison of the Lower Colusa Basin Drain alternative versus a pipeline directly to the Sacramento River.

Mr. Plinski stated that there appears to be an assumption that certain lands could be inundated under some water year types and asked whether flooding of environmental or private lands is an acceptable assumption and when that determination will be made. Staff responded that discussions have occurred with landowners and parties familiar with mitigation lands and that confirmation will be sought through the working group.

Vice Chair Sutton asked for clarification regarding whether “flooding” refers to seepage or temporary inundation.

Mr. Weghorst stated that losses need to be quantified in dollar terms and expressed the view that the value of potential water losses could justify a pipeline alternative.

- 3.4 Receive a status update on the Facilities Use Agreements with Glenn Colusa Irrigation District and Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority and the Operations Agreement with Reclamation District 108.

Prior to the presentation of informational item 3.4, Chair Durst, Vice Chair Sutton, Director Vanderwaal and Mr. Navarrot left the conference room.

Mr. Robinette provided an update on the subject Facilities Use Agreements and noted that no decisions were being made as this was an informational item.

He stated that the project is closely tied to the use of existing facilities, including the Tehama-Colusa Canal (TCCA) and Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District (GCID), and that the use of these facilities is a key component of the project’s affordability and viability.

Mr. Robinette stated that negotiations have advanced considerably over the past couple of months, with current focus on GCID. He stated that work related to TCCA is ongoing but is being coordinated with the GCID effort and is also tied to the excess capacity agreement with Reclamation, which involves Reclamation-owned facilities.

He stated that staff anticipates bringing the agreements back for consideration in the following months, depending on coordination with the Reclamation-related agreements.

Board members asked about the status of negotiations with Reclamation and whether the facilities use agreements would be completed prior to investor commitment. Board members also acknowledged the dependency between the facilities use agreements and the Reclamation excess capacity

agreement and discussed the need to continue coordination to advance both efforts.

After the conclusion of the presentation and discussion of item 3.4, Chair Durst, Vice Chair Sutton, Director Vanderwaal and Mr. Navarrot returned to the meeting.

- 3.5 Review final draft of the January 2026 Plan of Finance Update, which incorporates comments from Authority Board and Reservoir Committee members.

ED Brown introduced the item, stating that it was being brought back following distribution of the January Plan of Finance update and requested a synopsis of comments received.

Ms. O’Connell stated that a limited number of comments were received and thanked those who responded. She stated that the comments primarily related to escalation and interest rate assumptions, with differing views expressed as to whether those assumptions were too high or too low.

Ms. O’Connell stated that the Plan of Finance will be included in the baseline report and will incorporate a more extensive sensitivity analysis evaluating the effects of escalation and interest rates. She noted that some analysis is already included, but additional tables will be provided.

Ms. O’Connell stated that there were no significant changes to the Plan of Finance or overall cost assumptions.

Chair Durst encouraged participants to apply their own assumptions, including interest rates, noting that each participant is responsible for its own financial obligations regardless of differing assumptions.

Mr. Kunde stated that his agency is similarly working to calibrate assumptions based on its own board’s risk tolerance.

Vice Chair Sutton expressed appreciation for staff, specifically acknowledging responsiveness to information requests and follow-up clarification.

4. **Reports:**

4.1 **Chairpersons’ Reports:**

Chair Durst acknowledged Mr. Allen’s retirement and noted his service to the Authority Board, including his former role as Vice Chair.

Reservoir Committee Chair Urkov stated that he did not have any reports.

Chair Durst stated that it has been an active month, including meetings with the North Coast States Carpenters Union representatives and with Carla Nemeth and Samantha Arthur to provide updates on project progress. He noted that activity is expected to continue and potentially increase in the coming months.

Chair Durst recognized Rob Cooke on the occasion of his retirement, thanking him for his role in coordinating with the Department of Water Resources and for assisting in advancing project efforts.

4.2 Committee & Workgroup Chairpersons’ Reports:

Vice Chair Sutton requested a Legislative and Outreach Committee report from Mr. Spesert, who reported that planning is underway for the annual Washington D.C. visit the week of May 18 and that an email will follow with trip details.

Mr. Kunde provided an update on the Conveyance Ad Hoc and Operations and Engineering Workgroup, stating that the groups met and discussed updates on the Conveyance Construction Manager at Risk procurement process, conveyance capacity agreements, modeling work, including capacity and cost information and updates related to the baseline report.

4.3 Authority Board & Reservoir Committee Participant Reports:

Director Vanderwaal reported that federal reconciliation funding had been awarded to Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority and further stated that the full requested amount was received and that installation of pumps would proceed.

Mr. Azevedo referenced a recent Local Community Working Group meeting, stating that the PLA was largely executed and that provisions were discussed to allow non-union participation to the extent possible, including opportunities for local participation such as trucking. He further noted that this development was generating local interest.

4.4 Executive Director’s Reports:

ED Brown stated that there were 31 outstanding Form 700 filers and with April 1st coming very soon, those filings need to be taken care of.

Mr. Neudeck asked ED Brown if a revised investor commitment period timeline could be distributed so that scheduling with home boards could occur. ED Brown responded yes, and that it wouldn't be far off from what has already been discussed, possibly a few months.

5. **CLOSED SESSION: 12:08PM**

5.1 Negotiations concerning water right permit terms and conditions (Govt. Code §54956.9(c) and §54956.9(d)(1)).

6. **REPORT FROM CLOSED SESSION: 1:04PM**

Counsel Doud reported that no action was taken in closed session.

7. **RECAP:**

None.

7.1. **Suggested Future Agenda Items:**


None.

7.2 **Upcoming Meetings:**

Reservoir Committee & Authority Board
Friday, April 17, 2026 (9:00 a.m. – noon)

Maxwell Project Office & Virtual


Chair Durst adjourned the Reservoir Committee and Authority Board Meeting at 1:05PM.



Fritz Durst, Authority Board Chair



Mike Urkov, Reservoir Committee Chair



Wendy Ambriz, Board Clerk

Current Voting Committee Participants (22):

	%	Participant		%	Participant
<input type="checkbox"/>	3.47	American Canyon, City	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.57	LaGrande WD
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.42	Antelope Valley-East Kern WA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	17.29	Metropolitan WD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.28	Coachella Valley WD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.47	Reclamation District 108
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.28	Colusa County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2.42	Rosedale-Rio Bravo WSD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.05	Colusa County WD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	8.70	San Bernardino Valley MWD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2.41	Cortina WD (1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6.48	San Geronio Pass WA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2.87	Davis WD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2.42	Santa Clara Valley WD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4.22	Desert WA	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.77	Santa Clarita Valley WA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.17	Dunnigan WD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.89	Westside WD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.77	Glenn-Colusa ID	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.19	Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa WSD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2.57	Irvine Ranch WD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.28	Zone 7 WA
				100.00	Total

18 Voting members represented at the start of the meeting

87.77% Represented participation percentage.

Representation has been delegated as follows:

(1) Proxy to Jamie Traynham, Davis WD

(±) Not present after _____

Current Voting Authority Board (9)		Primary		Alternate
Colusa County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gary Evans	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Randy Wilson
Colusa County Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Joe Marsh	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Hal Charter Shelly Murphy
Glenn County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Tony Arendt	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jim Yoder
Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jeff Sutton	<input type="checkbox"/>	Logan Dennis
Reclamation District 108	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fritz Durst	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	Sean Doherty Hilary Reinhard
Placer County Water Agency/City of Roseville	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gray Allen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pauline Roccucci
Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bill Vanderwaal	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jim Jones Zack Dennis
City of Sacramento/Sacramento County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Lisa Kaplan	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	Anne Sangar Brett Ewart
Westside Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Doug Parker	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Zach Dennis Allen Myers

Associate Members (non-voting)		Primary		Alternate
Western Canal Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Greg Johnson	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ted Trimble
Zone 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mike Urkov	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jamie Traynham

Non-Voting Committee Participants (2)		Primary		Alternate/Other
Department of Water Resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	David Gordon	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lincoln King
Bureau of Reclamation	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adam Nickels Allison Jacobson	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Natalie Taylor Jesse Sikora

Current Voting Reservoir Committee (22)		Primary		Alternate
American Canyon, City	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jason Holley	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Antelope Valley-East Kern WA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Matt Knudson	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Coachella Valley Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Robert Cheng	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Petya Vasileva
Colusa County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mike Azevedo	<input type="checkbox"/>	Daurice Kalfsbeek Smith
Colusa County Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Halbert Charter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Shelly Murphy
Cortina Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jim Peterson	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Chuck Grimmer Jamie Traynham
Davis Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jamie Traynham	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tom Charter
Desert Water Agency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Esther Saenz	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	David Tate

Meeting Attendance

March 20, 2026

Agenda Item 1.1, Attachment B

9:00 AM- Noon

Dunnigan Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jordon Navarrot	<input type="checkbox"/>	
RD 108	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jordon Navarrot	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lewis Bair
Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Logan Dennis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Louis Jarvis
Irvine Ranch Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paul Weghorst	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Robert Huang
LaGrande Water District	<input type="checkbox"/>	Zach Dennis	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Metropolitan Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Randall Neudeck	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nina Hawk Sam Smalls
Rosedale-Rio Bravo Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Trent Taylor	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dan Bartel
San Bernardino Valley Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Michael Plinski	<input type="checkbox"/>	Heather Dyer
San Geronio Pass Water Agency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Lance Eckhart	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	Thomas Todd Emmett Campbell
Santa Clara Valley Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Katherine Maher	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cindy Kao
Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	Steve Cole	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ali Elhassan
Westside Water District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Allen Myers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mike Urkov
Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa Water Storage District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rob Kunde	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sheridan Nicholas Eric McDaris
Zone 7 Water Agency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Valerie Pryor	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lillian Xie

Authority Shared Seats		Primary		Alternate
Roseville	<input type="checkbox"/>	George Hanson	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sean Bigley
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Joshua Alpine	<input type="checkbox"/>	Darin Reintjes
Sacramento County	<input type="checkbox"/>	Kerry Schmitz	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Sites Project Authority:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jerry Brown	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ali Forsythe	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Kevin Spesert	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	JP Robinette	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Shayleen O'Connell	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Wendy Ambriz	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alan Doud
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Marcia Kivett	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Kyle Hughes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rob Natoli	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mario Manzo	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conner McDonald				

Members of the Public

Name	Representing	Name	Representing
Bob Nichols	WSP	Bryce Moore	Blackburn Consulting
Rob Cooke	DWR	Krystal Bell	Westervelt Ecological Services
Masood Mesbah	Kleinfelder	Adrian Inguanzo	Teamsters 137

Members of the Public

Name	Representing	Name	Representing
Juleah Cordi	ASM Gallagher	Ayoub Antabeel	MWH
Casey Tull	OE3	Jesse Johnson	OE3
Sean Kelly	Barnard	Bill Suttev	Barnard
Chuck Adamson	OE3	Joe Sullivan	BKF
Tom Jacoubowsky	Land Owner	Sara Katz	Sites Communications
Jeff Wisnewski	WSP	Paul Bews	Ghiradelli Assoc.
Marcie Skelton	Glenn Co. Ag Comm & Air Poll. Control Dist.	Jeff Nelson	Parsons
Diana Hunt	GFT	Jamie Kolkey	Black & Veatch

Virtual Attendance

Virtual Attendance

Marcia Kivett
 Wendy Ambriz
 JP Robinette
 Jerry Brown
 AC Conference Room (Unverified)
 15304546811 (Unverified)
 Ken Bohannan
 Robert Huang (External)
 Fritz Durst
 Rocucci, Pauline
 Mike Fuller
 Conner McDonald
 Jim Edwards (External)
 Esther Saenz (External)
 Ryan, Russell E (External)
 Eric McDaris (External)
 Pamela Katleba-Jenkins Westside Water
 Foss, Elizabeth (External)
 Kanetis, Nick
 Mickey Nixon (External)
 Louis Jarvis (External)
 Lance Eckhart Pass Agency (External)
 Charlene Sun (External)
 Peterson, Stephen
 Ashur Yoseph (External)
 Jim Halloran
 Neudeck, Randall D (External)
 Jarod's Notetaker (Otter.ai) (Unverified)
 Paul Kraus
 Petya Vasileva
 Kolkey, Jamie
 Takata, Lynn@Wildlife
 Gobel, Jordan (External)

Fireflies.ai Notetaker Ricky (Unverified)
 read.ai meeting notes (Unverified)
 Luu, Henry (External)
 David Tate (External)
 Xzandrea Fowler - Colusa County (Unverified)
 Trent Taylor (External)
 Andrew Berselli (External)
 Joe Feeney (External)
 Michael Plinski (External)
 Marika Bernardi (External)
 Stephanie Parsons (External)
 Eric.Patterson1
 Alan Boyce (External)
 Sikora, Jessica L (External)
 Francesco Saibene (External)
 Pryor, Valerie (External)
 Smalls, Samuel L (External)
 Jacobson, Allison M (External)
 Summer Shadley (External)
 Patrick Brown
 Alcott, Derrick@Wildlife
 Marcus Maltby (External)
 Fogenburg, Sean@Wildlife
 Brian Thomas
 Lonnie Rejda (External)
 Desi Dikova (External)
 Brian Kirwan (External)
 Alexander, Jeriann (External)
 Kyla Saechao
 Rude, Pete
 Rivera, Itzia@DWR (External)
 Young, Amy@DWR (External)
 Ian Garland

Stanley, Robert@Wildlife
 Cheyanne Butcher (External)
 Spranza, John (External)
 Newens, Richard (External)
 Arsenijevic, Jelica (External)
 Ryan Shaw
 Nathan Monroe
 Shelly Murphy (Unverified)
 Grace Lui
 Barrett, Leslie (External)
 Elizabeth L Cousins
 Darin Reintjes (External)
 12134583275 (Unverified)
 15304587821 (Unverified)
 Brian Bunch
 Lisa Kaplan (External)
 AC Conference Room (Unverified)