



Website:
SitesProject.org

Reservoir Committee & Authority Board

May 22, 2026

Authority Board Chair:	Fritz Durst (Reclamation District 108)
Authority Board Vice Chair:	Jeff Sutton (Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority)
Reservoir Committee Chair:	Mike Urkov (Westside Water District)
Reservoir Committee Vice Chair:	Robert Cheng (Coachella Valley Water District)
Treasurer:	Jamie Traynham (Davis Water District)

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

Chair Durst called the Authority Board (AB) and Reservoir Committee (RC) Meeting to order at 9:00 a.m., followed by Roll Call and the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL/ATTENDANCE:

Authority Board: 9 members were present, constituting a quorum.

Reservoir Committee: 18 representatives (87.54%) were present, constituting a quorum.

INTRODUCTIONS:

Members of the Authority Board, Reservoir Committee and public introduced themselves.

Vice Chair Sutton joined the meeting at 9:10 a.m.

AGENDA APPROVAL:

ACTION RC: Moved by Ms. Saenz, seconded by Ms. Pryor, to approve the May 22, 2026, meeting agenda. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: Moved by Director Evans, seconded by Director Vanderwaal, to approve the May 22, 2026, meeting agenda. **Motion Carried: All Directors present voted yes.**

ANNOUNCEMENT OF CLOSED SESSION

General Counsel (GC) Doud announced that the Authority Board of Directors and the Reservoir Committee Members would consider Closed Session Items 5.1 through 5.3.

PERIOD FOR PUBLIC COMMENT:

Chair Durst opened the period for public comment. The public comment received focused on support for adoption of the Project Labor Agreement (PLA) template for future project phases and contracts. Speakers stated that the agreement reflects a fair arrangement between the building trades, contractor, and Sites Authority, and expressed the view that using a consistent PLA across future construction phases would provide continuity and avoid repeating previous labor negotiations and challenges.

Another comment highlighted workforce development efforts underway in the region, including a recent construction trades job fair involving local high schools and a pre-apprenticeship program intended to prepare residents for careers in the trades.

Hearing no further comment, Chair Durst closed the period for public comment.

1. CONSENT AGENDA:

ACTION RC: Moved by Ms. Pryor, seconded by Mr. Holley, to approve all items on consent agenda. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Vice Chair Sutton, seconded by Director Vanderwaal, to approve the consent agenda. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- 1.1 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of April 17, 2026, Reservoir Committee and Authority Board Meeting Minutes.
- 1.2 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of May 8, 2026, Benefits and Obligations Contract Workshop Minutes.
- 1.3 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider acceptance of the Sites Project Authority Treasurer's Report.
- 1.4 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of the Sites Project Authority Payment of Claims.
- 1.5 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider acceptance of the Sites Project Authority's First Quarter 2026 Budget Financial Report.

- 1.6 Reservoir and Authority Board consider approval of a task order amendment with ICF to perform environmental surveys and permitting to support initiating construction activities in early 2027 following completion of investor commitment, in the amount of:

- ICF: +\$200,000 making the revised total FY2026 contract authority not-exceed of \$3,296,000.

2. ACTION ITEMS:

- 2.1 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board accept the tentatively agreed terms contained in the Contract for Administration of Public Benefit (CAPB) with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) while withholding final determination on delivery quantities until after the issuance of the final water right and conditioned upon no substantial changes from the terms in the CAPB as a result of public comments.

Ms. Forsythe introduced the item and Ms. Samantha Arthur, Deputy Secretary for Water at the California Natural Resources Agency, provided an overview of the State's role in the Sites Project through Proposition 1 and the Water Storage Investment Program. She explained that the State's investment is based on the public benefits generated by the project and that execution of the CAPB is necessary for the State to become a long-term participant in the project.

Ms. Forsythe presented the proposed ecosystem CAPB with CDFW, explaining that the contract establishes how ecosystem benefits will be delivered, tracked, reported, and adaptively managed. She stated that final water delivery commitments are not yet included and will be developed following issuance of the final water right permit and completion of updated modeling. Ms. Forsythe explained that the ecosystem benefits are focused on wetland habitat enhancement through water deliveries to refuge lands and the Upper Butte Basin Wildlife area and noted that the contract allows flexibility to deliver water to other qualifying locations if necessary. She further explained that the Authority's obligations are to deliver water for ecosystem benefits and maintain the agreements necessary to facilitate those deliveries, while limiting obligations to the State's 17.3 percent participation share.

Ms. Kristal Davis-Fadtke, Department of Fish and Wildlife, reviewed the adaptive management and dispute resolution provisions of the contract, explaining the process for evaluating performance, identifying adaptive management actions, and addressing circumstances that may affect achievement of public benefit targets. She noted that the contract was

developed to account for future uncertainties, including hydrologic, operational, and regulatory changes, while maintaining the State's participation with the agreed-upon allocation.

Board members asked questions regarding how adaptive management would function during prolonged wet or dry periods, how water delivery obligations would be affected by changing hydrology, and whether the Authority would be required to provide water beyond the State's 17.3 percent allocation.

Questions were raised regarding reservoir operations, delivery priorities, exchanges, direct deliveries, conveyance constraints, and the Authority's role in deciding when and where ecosystem water would be released. Staff explained that the Authority would retain decision-making authority regarding operations while coordinating with CDFW and refuge managers to achieve the intended ecosystem benefits.

Board members expressed appreciation for the collaborative effort between staff and CDFW.

Director Murphy joined the meeting at 9:40 a.m.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Navarrot, seconded by Vice Chair Cheng, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.1 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Vice Chair Sutton, seconded by Director Evans, to approve agenda item 2.1. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- 2.2 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board accept the tentatively agreed terms contained in the Contract for Administration of Public Benefits (CAPB) with the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) while withholding final determination on delivery quantities for emergency response until after the issuance of the final water right and conditioned upon no substantial changes to the terms in the CAPB as a result of public comments.

Ms. Forsythe presented the proposed CAPB with DWR addressing the project's flood control, recreation, and emergency drought supply benefits. She explained that the contract follows the same structure as the ecosystem CAPB and establishes how the public benefits will be delivered, tracked, reported, and adaptively managed.

Ms. Forsythe explained that the project's flood control benefits are derived from the reservoir's ability to provide temporary storage of local inflows and reduce flood risk.

Ms. Forsythe reviewed the recreation benefits, discussed the emergency drought supply benefit and then concluded by outlining next steps including development of final benefit values, public review by the California Water Commission, and return to the Authority Board and Reservoir Committee for approval of final numbers and contract terms.

Board members asked questions regarding the flood management plan, recreation visitation targets, and implementation of the emergency drought supply benefits. Discussion also focused on how future obligations would interact with the Benefits and Obligations Contract (B&O).

Director Evans commented that the use of both contractual and voluntary concepts within the drought emergency supply provisions created uncertainty and noted the difficulty of approving contractual obligations when the framework for voluntary participation remained under development.

Vice Chair Sutton, Mr. Navarrot, and other Board members discussed whether additional clarity was needed before approval and whether the item should be contingent upon future review of B&O language. Staff acknowledged the concern and explained that the proposed benefit remains subject to California Water Commission review and that additional refinement could occur before final execution of the agreement.

Representatives from the Department of Water Resources and California Natural Resources Agency clarified that the item before the Board was a tentative agreement on contract terms and that final agreements would not be executed until completion of additional review, public comment, and funding approval processes.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Navarrot, seconded by Mr. Holley, to tentatively agree to the terms contained in the CAPB with DWR while withholding determination on delivery quantities for emergency response and understanding that further revision to Exhibit D may be necessary. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Vice Chair Sutton, seconded by Director Vanderwaal, to tentatively agree to the terms contained in the CAPB with

DWR while withholding determination on delivery quantities for emergency response and understanding that further revision to Exhibit D may be necessary. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- 2.3 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider adopting the executed Reservoir and Roads Project Labor Agreement (PLA), in substantially the same form, as the template for all alternative delivery contracts on the Project and adopt revisions to the Sites Project Authority Construction Workforce Policy to incorporate the template PLA, the inclusion of Solano County in the Local Area, and clarifying the non-covered provisions of the PLA.

Mr. Robinette presented the proposed Project Labor Agreement (PLA) template for future construction phases of the Sites Project. He explained that the PLA was developed through discussions with labor representatives and contractors and is intended to provide a consistent labor framework for future project work. He noted that the agreement includes provisions addressing local participation, apprenticeship opportunities, and labor stability, while allowing project-specific agreements to be developed as future construction packages move forward. He also stated that approval of the template would establish a framework and would not commit the Authority to specific future construction contracts.

Mr. Navarrot asked whether the local hiring percentage goals had been transferred into the PLA. Mr. Robinette responded that the goals were intended to remain within the Construction Workforce Policy and acknowledged they may have been inadvertently omitted during revisions.

Several Board members commented on the extensive effort that had gone into developing the template and recognized the importance of workforce development, apprenticeship opportunities, labor stability, and local participation. Discussion also acknowledged the support expressed during public comment for establishing a framework that could be used across future phases of project construction.

Chair Durst opened a period for public comment. A North Coast States Carpenters Union (NCSCU) representative stated that the union opposed the process used to negotiate the PLA. He asserted that the building trades council did not have authority to negotiate labor harmony provisions on behalf of the NCSCU and stated that the union would not sign the Reservoir and Roads PLA or any future PLA using it as a template.

Hearing no further comment, Chair Durst closed the period for public comment.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Ms. Pryor, seconded by Mr. Azevedo, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.3 to the Authority Board with the addition of language including the local hiring percentage goals. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Evans, seconded by Vice Chair Sutton, to approve agenda item 2.3. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- 2.4 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider authorizing the initiation of the Conveyance Package Construction Manager (CMAR) at Risk procurement, including issuance of the Request for Qualifications.

Mr. Natoli presented the request to initiate procurement for the Conveyance CMAR contract, including issuance of the Request for Qualifications. He explained that bringing the contractor on board is critical to maintaining the project schedule, as several conveyance components are on or near the critical path. Mr. Natoli noted that initiating procurement at this time would support selection of a contractor in late first quarter of 2027 and allow for early contractor involvement during the design process.

Mr. Natoli then reviewed the procurement milestones and explained that staff had delayed bringing the item forward until direction was received on the PLA template discussed under item 2.3. With that direction now provided, staff is prepared to proceed with the procurement process. He stated that the next Board action would be approval of a shortlist of qualified firms, anticipated in August or September, followed by approval of the selected contractor and contract negotiations.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Ms. Traynham, seconded by Ms. Saenz, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.4 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Vanderwaal, seconded by Director Evans, to approve agenda item 2.4. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- 2.5 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider authorizing the Executive Director to enter into an Agreement to Coordinate in the Operations of the Sites Project with the California Department of Water Resources, the US Bureau of Reclamation.

ED Brown presented the proposed Operations Agreement with the Department of Water Resources (DWR) and the Bureau of Reclamation. He

explained that the agreement is the result of nearly six years of work and extensive technical discussions involving project participants, DWR, and Reclamation.

ED Brown explained that the purpose of the agreement is to establish how Sites will operate in coordination with the State Water Project and Central Valley Project to avoid adverse impacts on those systems and their ability to export water. He noted that while the agreement itself is not highly technical, the supporting attachments contain the operational details related to diversions, releases, measurements, and analyses of project operations. He highlighted the accompanying white paper, which documents the operational assumptions, analyses, and guiding principles developed during negotiations and is intended to provide a foundation for future operational evaluations as conditions evolve.

Vice Chair Sutton expressed support for the agreement and stated that significant effort had gone into its development. He commented that the agreement establishes a sound operational foundation while preserving opportunities to adapt and optimize operations in the future.

Mr. Weghorst asked whether the agreement contemplated circumstances in which Sites operations could be adversely affected by the State Water Project or Central Valley Project operations and whether some form of reciprocal adjustment mechanism had been considered. ED Brown responded that parties had focused on identifying shared goals and mutual benefits and that the agreement includes an annual assessment process intended to identify opportunities for improved coordination and achievement of common objectives.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Kunde, seconded by Mr. Weghorst, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.5 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Vanderwaal, seconded by Director Roccucci, to approve agenda item 2.5. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- 2.6 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider authorizing the Executive Director to enter into a Conveyance Agreement with the California Department of Water Resources to convey Prop 1 Sites Water in the State Water Project facilities, subject to final legal review and approval, with direction to the Executive Director to finalize the agreement accordingly.

ED Brown presented the proposed conveyance agreement with DWR. He explained that the agreement is specifically intended to facilitate delivery of Proposition 1 refuge water supplies south of the Delta through the State Water Project export facilities. He noted that the agreement is largely based on DWR's standard conveyance agreement template and addresses scheduling requirements, responsibilities, and operational procedures associated with conveying water through the Delta.

ED Brown explained that conveyance losses through the export facilities would be accounted for in future delivery calculations and that, once conveyed through the Delta, Reclamation would assume responsibility for delivering water to the refuges pursuant to the donation agreement previously approved by the Board. He also noted that conveyance costs would be assessed pursuant to DWR's established rates and charges process and reported that discussions were ongoing with the California Water Commission staff regarding whether those costs could be included as part of the Proposition 1 funding award.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Mr. Kunde, seconded by Vice Chair Cheng, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.6 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Director Vanderwaal, seconded by Vice Chair Sutton, to approve agenda item 2.6. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- 2.7 Reservoir Committee and Authority Board consider approval of a consulting agreement/contract with Hodge Executive Services (Sarah Hodge) to provide Executive Coordination Services in the amount not to exceed \$14,8000/month for the period of June 1, 2026, through December 31, 2026.

ED Brown presented a proposed contract with Hodge Executive Services for executive coordination services. He explained that the services have been historically performed by Ms. Kivett and that Ms. Hodge would assume those responsibilities moving forward. ED Brown noted that six proposals were received in response to the Request for Proposals, four candidates were interviewed and Ms. Hodge was selected following the competitive process.

ED Brown noted that Ms. Hodge's qualifications, breadth of experience, and familiarity with the water community distinguished her during the selection process.

During discussion, Board members expressed appreciation for Ms. Kivett's service to the Authority and Reservoir Committee and recognized her contributions to the organization and the project. Board members thanked Ms. Kivett for her work and wished her well as she transitions from the role.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Ms. Saenz, seconded by Ms. Traynham, to recommend approval of agenda item 2.7 to the Authority Board. **Motion carried unanimously.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Vice Chair Sutton, seconded by Director Roccucci, to approve agenda item 2.7. **Motion carried unanimously.**

3. DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION ITEMS:

3.1 Receive Lower Colusa Basin Drain (CBD) System project cost updates for the Project Baseline Report.

ED Brown introduced the item and explained that staff was seeking direction on how Lower CBD costs should be reflected in the upcoming project cost estimate update. Mr. Natoli reviewed the current assumptions, recent modeling efforts, and ongoing evaluations of operations, maintenance, flow agreements, monitoring, and potential pipeline alternatives. He explained that staff has moved away from considering berm improvements due to flood risk and stakeholder concerns and is instead focusing on operational solutions within the existing Lower CBD system. Mr. Natoli also discussed updated pipeline cost estimates, operational uncertainties, potential water losses, and a proposal to add approximately \$100 million to the management budget to address Lower CBD-related risks and unknowns.

Mr. Weghorst asked questions regarding updated costs and associated contingencies. Vice Chair Sutton stated that a complete comparison should include capital costs, maintenance costs, and operational losses associated with both the Lower CBD and a pipeline alternative. Mr. Kunde suggested presenting multiple cost scenarios in the Baseline Report to allow participants to evaluate options. Mr. Neudeck requested additional analysis supporting the proposed cost adjustments and stated he would need more information before presenting the matter to his board. Board members generally agreed that additional evaluation was needed, and staff indicated

the item would return for further discussion following additional work through the Operations and Engineering Workgroup.

4. Reports:

4.1 Chairpersons' Reports:

Reservoir Committee Vice Chair Urkov stated that he had no reports. Chair Durst reported that, at the invitation of Mr. Neudeck, he attended a meeting of the Metropolitan Water District Executive Committee on April 28, 2026, and provided an update on Northern California water issues and the Sites Reservoir Project, including discussion of the project's water supply benefits.

Chair Durst also reported on a recent Washington, D.C. legislative trip attended by Reservoir Committee Vice Chair Cheng, Mr. Spesert, ED Brown, and himself. He stated that the group attended more than 15 meetings with congressional representatives and federal agencies. Discussion topics included the water right process, potential implications for the project and State, and opportunities for federal engagement. Chair Durst further noted that the group also met with the Bureau of Reclamation and reported continued collaboration in advancing the project and pursuing federal participation.

4.2 Committee & Workgroup Chairpersons' Reports:

Mr. Kunde, Chair of the Operations and Engineering Workgroup, reported that the Workgroup spent significant time discussing the Lower CBD and project cost estimate considerations. He stated that staff provided updates on the project schedule, budget, and development of the Baseline Report. Mr. Kunde also reported that the Workgroup discussed updating project costs to current dollars, engineering optimization opportunities, the Conveyance CMAR procurement process, and progress on the Reservoir CMAR contract.

Board members asked questions regarding how costs would be reflected in the Baseline Report.

Ms. Maher reported that the 401 and 404 permitting efforts remain on schedule and that permit issuance is expected to occur in phases.

Mr. Spesert reported that staff is planning a Landowner meeting in both Maxwell and Dunnigan in July and he also reported that the contingency

acquisition program approved in April had closed and that nine landowners had expressed interest.

4.3 Authority Board & Reservoir Committee Participant Reports:

Ms. Saenz reported on a recent Washington, D.C. trip, including meetings with congressional representatives. She stated that discussions focused on water rights issues.

Mr. Kunde reported that all Reservoir Committee members had submitted written authorizations regarding the transfer of a partial project interest from Wheeler Ridge Maricopa Water Storage District to Santa Clara Valley Water Agency and noted that the participation change had been completed.

4.4 Executive Director's Reports:

ED Brown reminded Board members that comments on the water right proceeding were due that day and encouraged any participating agencies to submit comments before the deadline. He further reported that the Yolo County Development Agreement had been unanimously approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission and would be considered by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors on June 2.

5. CLOSED SESSION: 12:17PM

5.1 Water Right Application 25517X01 (Govt. Code §54956.9(c) and §54956.9(d)(1)).

5.2 Conference with Real Property Negotiators (Gov. Code § 54956.8)
Property: [Colusa County] APNs 011-150-021-000 and 011-150-022-000
Agency negotiators: Jerry Brown, Kevin Spesert
Negotiating parties: Banyan Transport Systems
Under negotiation: Price and terms of payment

5.3 Potential litigation (Govt. Code §54956.9(d)(2)) (1 item).

6. REPORT FROM CLOSED SESSION: 1:44 PM

Counsel Doud reported that no action was taken in closed session.

Following the closed session report, Chair Durst reconvened the meeting in open session and proceeded to Item 7.

7. Discussion and Possible Action Regarding Brown Act Cease and Desist Letter Submitted by Counsel for NCSCU.

Counsel Doud reported that the Authority had received a Brown Act cease and desist letter from an attorney from the NCSCU. He explained that the letter alleged two Brown Act violations: first, that the Authority improperly noticed a closed session regarding the Reservoir and Roads CMAR contractor, and second, that the Authority improperly met in closed session regarding the State Water Resources Control Board water right proceeding.

GC Doud explained that the Authority did not ultimately conduct a closed session regarding the CMAR contractor and had previously removed that item from a closed session agenda. He further explained that the water right proceeding qualifies as litigation under the Brown Act because it is an adjudicatory proceeding before a regulatory agency. He noted that the proceeding remains pending until final action by the State Water Resources Control Board.

GC Doud then reviewed the Authority's options for responding to the cease and desist letter and recommended issuing an unconditional commitment to not notice the CMAR contractor matter for closed session in the future and to use the Brown Act's Safe Harbor language when noticing future language related to the water right proceeding. He explained that the commitment would be limited to the specific allegations raised in the cease and desist letter.

ACTION RC: It was moved by Ms. Traynham, seconded by Mr. Navarrot, to recommend approval to the Authority Board of the unconditional commitment to not notice CMAR contractor in closed session on future agendas and to use the Brown Act Safe Harbor language on closed sessions on the water right proceedings in the future. Mr. Neudeck abstained. Mr. Kunde opposed. **Motion carried.**

ACTION AB: It was moved by Vice Chair Sutton, seconded by Director Vanderwaal, to approve the unconditional commitment to not notice CMAR contractor in closed session on future agendas and to use the Brown Act Safe Harbor language on closed sessions on the water right proceedings in the future. **Motion carried unanimously.**

8. **Recap:**

8.1 Suggested Future Agenda Items.

None.

8.2 Upcoming Meetings:

Reservoir Committee & Authority Board

June 26, 2026 (9:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.)

Maxwell Project Office & Virtual


Chair Durst adjourned the Reservoir Committee and Authority Board Meeting at 1:53PM.



Fritz Durst, Authority Board Chair



Mike Urkov, Reservoir Committee Chair



Wendy Ambriz, Board Clerk

Current Voting Committee Participants (22):

	%	Participant		%	Participant
☒	3.47	American Canyon, City	☐	2.57	LaGrande WD
☐	2.42	Antelope Valley-East Kern WA	☒	17.29	Metropolitan WD
☒	5.28	Coachella Valley WD	☒	3.47	Reclamation District 108
☒	5.28	Colusa County	☐	2.42	Rosedale-Rio Bravo WSD
☐	5.05	Colusa County WD	☒	8.70	San Bernardino Valley MWD
☒	2.41	Cortina WD (1)	☒	6.48	San Geronio Pass WA
☒	2.87	Davis WD	☒	2.42	Santa Clara Valley WD
☒	4.22	Desert WA	☒	3.77	Santa Clarita Valley WA
☒	3.17	Dunnigan WD	☒	3.89	Westside WD
☒	3.77	Glenn-Colusa ID	☒	3.19	Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa WSD
☒	2.57	Irvine Ranch WD	☒	5.28	Zone 7 WA
				100.00	Total

18 Voting members represented at the start of the meeting

87.54% Represented participation percentage.

Representation has been delegated as follows:

(1) Proxy to Jamie Traynham, Davis WD

(±) Not present after _____

Meeting Attendance

May 22, 2026

Agenda Item 1.1, Attachment B

9:00AM– 12:00PM

Current Voting Authority Board (9)		Primary		Alternate
Colusa County	☒	Gary Evans	☒	Randy Wilson
Colusa County Water District	☐	Joe Marsh	☐ ☒	Hal Charter Shelly Murphy
Glenn County	☐	Tony Arendt	☐	Jim Yoder
Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District	☒	Jeff Sutton	☐	Logan Dennis
Reclamation District 108	☒	Fritz Durst	☐ ☐	Sean Doherty Hilary Reinhard
Placer County Water Agency/City of Roseville	☒	Pauline Roccucci	☐	TBD
Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority	☒	Bill Vanderwaal	☐	Jim Jones Zack Dennis
City of Sacramento/Sacramento County	☐	Lisa Kaplan	☒ ☐	Anne Sangar Brett Ewart
Westside Water District	☐	Doug Parker	☐ ☒	Zach Dennis Allen Myers

Associate Members (non-voting)		Primary		Alternate
Western Canal Water District	☐	Greg Johnson	☒ ☐	Ted Trimble Jenny Scheer
Zone 3	☒	Mike Urkov	☐	Jamie Traynham

Non-Voting Committee Participants (2)		Primary		Alternate/Other
Department of Water Resources	☒	David Gordon	☐	Lincoln King
Bureau of Reclamation	☒ ☒	Scott Taylor Allison Jacobson	☒	Jesse Sikora

Current Voting Reservoir Committee (22)		Primary		Alternate
American Canyon, City	☒	Jason Holley	☐	
Antelope Valley-East Kern WA	☐	Matt Knudson	☐	
Coachella Valley Water District	☒	Robert Cheng	☒	Petya Vasileva
Colusa County	☒	Mike Azevedo	☐	Daurice Kalfsbeek Smith
Colusa County Water District	☐	Halbert Charter	☒	Shelly Murphy
Cortina Water District	☐	Jim Peterson	☐ ☒	Chuck Grimmer Jamie Traynham
Davis Water District	☒	Jamie Traynham	☐	Tom Charter
Desert Water Agency	☒	Esther Saenz	☐	David Tate

Meeting Attendance

May 22, 2026

Agenda Item 1.1, Attachment B

9:00AM– 12:00PM

Dunnigan Water District	☒	Jordon Navarrot	☐	
RD 108	☒	Jordon Navarrot	☐	Lewis Bair
Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District	☐	Logan Dennis	☒	Louis Jarvis
Irvine Ranch Water District	☒	Paul Weghorst	☒	Robert Huang
LaGrande Water District	☐	Zach Dennis	☐	
Metropolitan Water District	☒	Randall Neudeck	☐ ☐	Nina Hawk Sam Smalls
Rosedale-Rio Bravo Water District	☐	Trent Taylor	☐	Dan Bartel
San Bernardino Valley Water District	☒	Michael Plinski	☐	Heather Dyer
San Geronio Pass Water Agency	☒	Lance Eckhart	☐ ☐	Jennifer Ustation Emmett Campbell
Santa Clara Valley Water District	☒	Katherine Maher	☒	Cindy Kao
Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency	☐	Steve Cole	☒	Ali Elhassan
Westside Water District	☐	Allen Myers	☒	Mike Urkov
Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa Water Storage District	☒	Rob Kunde	☐ ☒	Sheridan Nicholas Eric McDaris
Zone 7 Water Agency	☒	Valerie Pryor	☐	Lillian Xie

Authority Shared Seats		Primary		Alternate
Roseville	☐ ☐	George Hanson Joshua Alpine	☐ ☐	Sean Bigley Darin Reintjes
Sacramento County	☐	Kerry Schmitz	☐	

Sites Project Authority:

☒ Jerry Brown	☒ Ali Forsythe	☒ Kevin Spesert	☒ JP Robinette	☒ Shayleen O'Connell	☒ Wendy Ambriz	☒ Alan Doud
☒ Marcia Kivett	☒ Kyle Hughes	☒ Rob Natoli	☒ Mario Manzo	☒ Conner McDonald		

Members of the Public

Name	Representing	Name	Representing
David Okita	DWR	Sarah Hodge	Hodge Exec Services
Linc To	HDR	Ayoub Antabeel	MWH
Michael Drennan	Parsons	Vik Iso Ahola	Stantec

Meeting Attendance

May 22, 2026

Agenda Item 1.1, Attachment B

9:00AM– 12:00PM

Members of the Public

Name	Representing	Name	Representing
Sujan Punyamurthula	Stantec	Jeff Nelson	Parsons
Amanda Dwyer	Westervelt	Diane Hunt	GFT
Samantha Arthur	CNRA	Jamie Kolkey	Black & Veatch
Dave Zuber	HDR	Juleah Cordi	ASM Gallagher
Jordan Gobel	Dewberry	Masood Mesbah	Kleinfelder
Nathan Monroe	Atlas	Adrian Inguanzo	Teamsters
Joe Sullivan	BKF Engineers	Jesse Johnson	Local 3
Casey Tull	OE3	Raewyn Butcher	Ghiradelli Assoc.
Sean Radford	Laborers Local 185	Stephen Peterson	City of Roseville
Krystal Davis Fadtk	CDFW	Kevin Davis	Black & Veatch

Virtual Attendance

Virtual Attendance

Marcia Kivett
Wendy Ambriz
Jerry Brown
15304546811 (Unverified)
Foss, Elizabeth (External)
Conference
Richard Welsh (External)
Richard Welsh
Alan Doud (External)
Petya Vasileva - CVWD (Unverified)
Robins, Rhonda (External)
Ted Trimble
Robert Huang (External)
Phil Brun (External)
Fritz Durst, RD108 (Unverified)
Hurley, Jason (External)
Summer Shadley (External)
Louis Jarvis (External)
Cindy Kao (External)
Luu, Henry (External)
Peter Ruszel (External)
15109321666 (Unverified)
Cooke, Robert@DWR (External)
Fogenburg, Sean@Wildlife
Michael Plinski (External)
John Belperio (External)
Stephanie Parsons (External)
Lance Eckhart Pass Agency (External)
Nico Regino (External)
Pamela Katleba-Jenkins Westside Water
Ali Elhassan (External)
Pryor, Valerie (External)
Wesley Walker (External)
Fireflies.ai Notetaker Ricky Kahlon (Unverified)

89

Eric Patterson1
Greg Herzog (External)
Taylor, Scott D (External)
Jacobson, Allison M (External)
Brian Thomas
Cook, Matt (External)
Alan Boyce (External)
Conner McDonald
Esther Saenz (External)
Ryan Shaw
Scott Davis (External)
Eric McDaris (External)
Young, Amy@DWR (External)
Shelly Murphy (Unverified)
Boyt, Jessica@DWR (External)
Rivera, Itzia@DWR (External)
Katherine Maher (External)
Neudeck, Randall D (External)
Sikora, Jessica L (External)
Phil Martin (Unverified)
Marcus Maltby (External)
Ryan, Russell E (External)
Kyla Saechao
Chris Shutes
Desi Dikova (External)
Chandra Chilmakuri (External)
Robert Cheng
Anne Sanger, City of Sacramento (Unverified)
Amanda Dwyer (External)
Mitchell Bechtel (Unverified)
Keiko Mertz (External)
Alexander, Jeriann (External)
Cheyanne Butcher (External)
Sean M. Pritchard (External)

Shelly Murphy (Unverified)
Rude, Pete
Matthew Beeston (External)
Dina Hunt (External)
Phil Martin (External)
Newens, Richard (External)
Whittington, Chad
Peterson, Stephen
Silverboard, Grace (External)
Zuber, Dave (External)
Joe Knadler (External)
Krystal Bell (External)
Brian Bunch
Ulises Martinez (External)
Brian, Gardner
Tom Jacobowsky
Fritz Durst, RD108 (Unverified)
Manish Patel (External)
Urkov, Kellie S (External)
Mike Urkov (Unverified)